

Thank you for taking the time to review our manuscript. We have made revisions to the valuable comments made by the two peer reviewers.

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: It was a well-written case report. I suggest accepting the case report.

A: Firstly, thank you very much for your valuable suggestions and recognition of our work. We have further polished the language to meet the requirements of the journal and to be readable by the readers. Thank you again for your suggestions.

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: This report is well written about solitary intraosseous neurofibroma in the mandible with rare case. But I want to know further specific laboratory test including histology - such as S-100, CD 34 if possible.

A: Thank you for the valuable advice. We have provided the corresponding positive immunohistochemistry results and images in the body of the article, as well as supplemented the negative immunohistochemistry results and images in the Supplementary Material. At the same time, we have further upgraded our language levels. Thank you again for your valuable comments.