

Dear Editors and Reviewers:

Thank you for your letter and the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled "**Malignant Peripheral Nerve Sheath Tumor of the Broad Ligament of the Uterus with Hemophilic Syndrome and Bone Marrow Fibrosis: A Rare Case Report and Literature Review**" (Manuscript ID: 87691). Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to our researches. We have studied comments carefully and have made correction which we hope meet with approval. The revised portion is marked in red in the paper. The main corrections in the paper and the responds to the Editor's and reviewer's comments are as following:

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Major revision

Specific Comments to Authors: Manuscript needs some corrections and revision: -Platelet inhibition is not an appropriate term. Decrease in platelet counts is more suitable. - "Pezopanib" must be changed throughout the text as "pazopanib. -"Signs and symptoms related with bleeding diathesis should be mentioned ,in history of the patient. -I think "hemophilia syndrome" is only a possibility. Not an evidenced diagnosis. Which type was it? Any evidenced factor analysis should be mentioned. If not the authors should change the title and the text accordingly.

Response: Thank you very much for the valuable suggestions provided by the reviewers.

1. As for "Platelet inhibition is not an appropriate term",

-----we agree with the reviewers that "Decrease in platelet counts" is more suitable. Thus, we have made modifications in the manuscript. Please see line 95.

2. "Pezopanib" must be changed throughout the text as "pazopanib.

----- we agree with the reviewers that "Pezopanib" must be changed throughout the text as "pazopanib. Thus, we have made modifications in the manuscript. Please see line 28/93/168/208.

3."Signs and symptoms related with bleeding diathesis should be mentioned ,in history of the patient.

----Thanks for your valuable suggestion. In this study , Signs and symptoms related with bleeding diathesis should be mentioned ,in history of the patient. We have presented the results of the patient's bleeding, coagulation function before chemotherapy, and blood routine tests in the case history data, as follows: Before the patient received chemotherapy, the blood test results showed no significant abnormalities and no contraindications for chemotherapy.

I think "hemophilia syndrome" is only a possibility. Not an evidenced diagnosis. Which type was it? Any evidenced factor analysis should be mentioned.

---- Thank you very much for your valuable suggestion. We agree that the hemophilic syndrome involved in this case is a suspicious case, and according to the definition of secondary hemophilic syndrome, it can be divided into infection related hemophilic syndrome and tumor related hemophilic syndrome. The patient was suspected to have tumor related hemophilic syndrome after receiving chemotherapy. In addition, we found in the results of bone marrow puncture reports that bone marrow hyperplasia is active, the proportion of granulocytes is reduced, and toxic changes are visible in neutrophils, which meets the diagnostic criteria for hemophilia syndrome. The diagnostic criteria for hemophilia syndrome are as follows:

1. Fever exceeding 1 week, maximum body temperature $\geq 38.5^{\circ}\text{C}$;
2. Hepatosplenomegaly with pancytopenia (i.e. no decreased or abnormal bone marrow proliferation);
3. Abnormal liver function (blood LDH $\geq 1000\text{U/L}$) and coagulation dysfunction (blood fibrinogen $\leq 1.5\text{g/L}$), accompanied by hyperlipidemia ($\geq 1000\text{ng/mL}$)
4. Hematopoietic tissue cells account for $\geq 3\%$ of nuclear cells in bone marrow smears, or accumulate histological features of bone marrow, lymph nodes, liver, spleen, and central nervous system.

Authors must revise the manuscript according to the Editorial Office's comments and suggestions, which are listed below:

(1) Science editor:

The manuscript has been peer-reviewed, and it is ready for the first decision.

Response: Not applicable.

(2) Company editor-in-chief:

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before final acceptance, uniform presentation should be used for figures showing the same or similar contents; for example, "Figure 1 Pathological changes of atrophic gastritis after treatment. A: ...; B: ...; C: ...; D: ...; E: ...; F: ...; G: ...". Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. In order to respect and protect the author's intellectual property rights and prevent others from misappropriating figures without the author's authorization or abusing figures without indicating the source, we will indicate the author's copyright for figures originally generated by the author, and if the author has used a figure published elsewhere or that is copyrighted, the author needs to be authorized by the previous publisher or the copyright holder and/or indicate the reference source and copyrights. Please check and confirm whether the figures are original (i.e. generated de novo by the author(s) for this paper). If the picture is 'original', the author needs to add the following copyright information to the bottom right-hand side of the picture in PowerPoint (PPT): Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023. Before its final acceptance, please provide and upload the following important documents: CARE Checklist-2016, an important document related to case report writing.

Response: Thank you for the high recognition given to this manuscript by the editor in chief. We have revised the manuscript and made detailed modifications to the content, image format, and other aspects in accordance with the magazine's requirements. We ensure that the revised manuscript meets the publication requirements of the magazine.

We tried our best to improve the manuscript and made some changes in the manuscript. These changes will not influence the content and framework of the paper. We appreciate

for Editors/Reviewers' warm work earnestly, and hope that the correction will meet with approval.

Once again, thank you very much for your comments and suggestions.