Dear review expert,

Thank you very much for your valuable comments on the review of my article. Your comments are very meaningful to me. As for the suggestions you put forward, I have revised them one by one and marked them with yellow background. I have made relevant changes in the format and other requirements of the journal. There may be some slight changes in the revised article. I would appreciate if you could review it again. The following are the changes to your suggestions one by one:

- 1) Line 17: "It" -> "It is"? "it" has been modified to "it is"
- 2) Line 23: "who were" -> "which was"? "who were" has been modified to "which was".
- 3) Line 40: "reported" -> "report" "reported" has been modified to "report".
- 4) Line 42: "that" -> "for patients who"? "that" has been modified to "for patients who".
- 5) Line 92: "while was" -> "and"? " while was" has been modified to "while showing".
- 6) Line 121: "In a" -> "A"? "In a" has been modified to "A".
- 7) Lines 131—133: Did you mean the case of the current report, or the patients in the trial of Ref. 16? The patients refers to the patient in the case report.
- 8) Line 137: "is important treatment modalities" check grammar Syntax has been changed.
- 9) Line 139: "achieved... efficacy"? suggest rephrasing Have been modified.
- 10) Line 141: "achieved certain response" -> "partially responded"? "achieved certain response" has been modified to "partially responded".
- 11) Line 144: "Gelolocation" -> "Geolocation"? "Gelolocation" has been modified to "Geolocation".
- 12) Figure 2 caption: "in interwoven and fascicles" check grammar" Have been modified.

Looking forward to you to review again.

Best regards,

Rong-Ting Wu, Manuscript author