

Dear Editors and Reviewers:

Thank you for your letter and for the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled "Iris metastasis from clear cell renal cell carcinoma: A case report and literature review" (ID: 88019). Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper. We have studied comments carefully and have made correction which we hope meet with approval. The revised/added contents were highlighted with yellow color in the revised manuscript. However, there are part of highlighted flags missing During the upload process, even though we have tried several times. The main corrections in the paper and the responds to the reviewer's comments are as following:

Responds to the reviewer's comments:

Reviewer #1:

1. The comment: English is uniformly poor. It should be edited by a professional English editing agency and a certificate should be provided.

Response: We thank the reviewer for pointing this out. Revised manuscript has been reviewed and edited by language services of Charlesworth and a certificate has been uploaded as the one of revision files.

2. The comment: Conclusion of Abstract needs to be rewritten. It should be focused to this case rather than literature review.

Response: We have re-written Conclusion of Abstract according to the Reviewer's suggestion.

3. The comment: The use of abbreviations is not standardized. Once abbreviated, full terms are being used again and again, e.g., ccRCC. This should be avoided.

Response: The use of abbreviations has been modified in accordance with the requirements of the Case Reports in the full manuscript.

4. The comment: In case summary, the follow-up is 27 months, whereas in the main report it is mentioned as 9 months. Please reconcile these figures.

Response: We sincerely apologize for our carelessness and thank the reviewer for pointing this out. The follow-up of this patient was re-verified to be 9 months, which has been revised in case summary.

5. The comment: Figures in text should be in sequential order, e.g., Figure 1A, 1B, etc. and should not start with 1B.

Response: The Figures in the text have been rearranged in the order mentioned in the text. See the "CASE PRESENTATION" section for details.

6. The comment: Figure legends of pathology images need to be improved.

Response: Figure legends of pathology images have been improved. The figures showing the same or similar contents has used uniform presentation.

Responds to the editor's comments:

Company editor-in-chief:

The comment: Please provide and upload the following important documents: Signed Consent for Treatment Form(s) or Document(s) (患者治疗/手术同意书或病例首页), the primary version (PDF) of the consent for treatment that has been signed by the patients in the study, prepared in the official language of the authors' country to the system. The quality of the English language of the manuscript does not meet the requirements of the journal. Before final acceptance, the author(s) must provide the English Language Certificate issued by a professional English language editing company. Uniform presentation should be used for figures showing the same or similar contents; for example, "Figure 1 Pathological changes of atrophic gastritis after treatment. A: ...; B: ...; C: ...; D: ...; E: ...; F: ...; G: ...". Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. In order to respect and protect the author's intellectual property rights and prevent others from misappropriating figures without the author's authorization or abusing figures without indicating the source, we will indicate the author's copyright for figures originally generated by the author, and if the author has used a figure published elsewhere or that is copyrighted, the author needs to be authorized by the previous publisher or the copyright holder and/or indicate the reference source and copyrights. Please check and confirm whether the figures are original (i.e. generated de novo by the author(s) for this paper). If the picture is 'original', the author needs to add the following

copyright information to the bottom right-hand side of the picture in PowerPoint (PPT): Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Response:1. Signed Consent for Treatment Form(s) or Document(s) have been uploaded as the one of revision files.

2. The revised manuscript has reviewed and edited by the Charlesworth, a professional English language editing companies you recommend, and a language certificate has been provided.

3. The figures showing the same or similar contents has used uniform presentation and were arranged in order with "A: ...; B: ...; C: ...; D: ...; E: ...".See the "Pathological examination" and "Imaging examinations" sections for details.

4. The original figures have been arranged using PowerPoint.All figures are confirmed as original and copyrighted with "Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023".

5. For all required accompanying documents,we have uploaded them via the F6 Publishing system and made ensure all of them are correct.But we have no supportive foundations,we couldn't provide the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s).

Once again, thank you very much for your comments and suggestions.Look forward to hearing from you.

Thank you and best regards.

Yours sincerely,

Huanfen Zhao

MM, Chief Physician, Professor, Department Of Pathology, Hebei General Hospital