

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade C (A great deal of language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: 1. Add current treatment plan of mucormycosis and incidence rate in introduction section. The introduction part should be revised in a more detailed manner. 2. The sentence "A cardiac doppler ultrasound reminded the heart that everything was normal" in the Case Presentation section is not technically correct. Doppler ultrasound findings need to be rewritten. 3. The introduction and discussion section both call for additional references. 4. In conclusion section, mention types of molecular methods which are required to confirm mucormycosis diagnosis. 5. Add more supportive studies in the discussion section. 6. A thorough proofreading of the entire document is strongly recommended.

Answering Reviewers:

1. We have added current treatment plan of mucormycosis and incidence rate in introduction section. The introduction part has been revised in a more detailed manner.
2. Doppler ultrasound findings have been rewritten. "A cardiac Doppler ultrasound revealed mild mitral regurgitation."
3. The introduction and discussion section have added more references.
4. In conclusion section, mention types of molecular methods has been added
5. Have added more supportive studies in the discussion section.
6. Manuscript has been polished further by "Filopodia" (a professional English language editing company)

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: The article describes a clinical case with isolated cerebral mucormycosis, which is a challenging case for early diagnosis and medication. The case is well introduced and relevant contents are reviewed in the

discussion. Some minor changes might be noticed for the authors: 1. In line 6 of the section 'Imaging examinations', 'gurus' should be 'gyrus'. 2. In the first paragraph of Discussion, the author mentioned the related risk factors of mucorcytosis. Thus, did this patient have any of the risk factors? 3. In Figure 4, scales of each panel is needed.

Answering Reviewers:

1. 'gurus' has been revised 'gyrus'.
2. This patient have the risk factors—diabetes. It has been mentioned in the article.
3. In Figure 4, scales of each panel has been added.