

Dear Editor,

Thank you for your constructive comments, and those of the reviewers, which have enabled us to improve our manuscript. We have revised our manuscript according to the reviewers' suggestions. Our point-by-point responses are provided herein:

Reviewer #1:

**Scientific Quality:** Grade A (Excellent)

**Language Quality:** Grade B (Minor language polishing)

**Conclusion:** Minor revision

**Specific Comments to Authors:** this is an excellent study for reporting a rare case and for using advanced technology to mimic the case by computer to identify the error. I think that authors have made a great job. I have one point to be taken into consideration in which references are relatively old, please update them.

Response: Thank you for your valuable guidance and positive feedback. We have updated the references in our manuscript as you have advised.

Reviewer #2:

**Scientific Quality:** Grade E (Do not publish)

**Language Quality:** Grade C (A great deal of language polishing)

**Conclusion:** Rejection

**Specific Comments to Authors:** The authors reported a rare case report of spontaneous fracture of titanium mesh cranioplasty in a child. Although the case is rare, I doubt the indication of the second surgery in a child who was only aged 8 with a synthetic titanium mesh graft material. In my opinion, the titanium mesh may experience an anticipated force due to the growing process of the skull of the child. Although the authors tried to address this point, it was not detailed. Discussion is not thorough and may be misleading. Besides, particular information of the case was not reported clearly. Language is another problem. For example, "cranioplasty titanium mesh" should be replaced with "titanium mesh cranioplasty"; "craniotomy" should be replaced with "craniectomy".

Thank you for your constructive criticism and advice. The safety of synthetic titanium mesh graft material in pediatric surgical practice has been proved by many studies (References 2, 3, and 4). We have corrected the terminological errors and have highlighted the corrections in yellow. Our manuscript has been carefully proofread and re-edited for grammar, punctuation, spelling, and overall style by a native English-speaking scientific editor engaged through Medjaden Inc. A language certificate is included in the uploaded documents.

Reviewer #3:

**Scientific Quality:** Grade C (Good)

**Language Quality:** Grade B (Minor language polishing)

**Conclusion:** Accept (General priority)

**Specific Comments to Authors:** Dear Author; Congratulations and thanks for submitting the above-mentioned article (case report) for publication to the World Journal of World Journal of Clinical Cases. I appreciate you and hope your case to be published. Comments: 1. The case is interested and well described. 2. If possible, the case presentation could be expanded in more details (signs, symptoms, any causes that overlooked by caregivers or the patient (however your mentioned rationales are enough). 3. The case needs minor language polishing. I hope your case to be published.

Thank you for your constructive suggestions and positive feedback. We contacted the boy's father again to discuss whether the boy had any particular episodes of head trauma or other predisposing incidents, symptoms, or signs that may have been overlooked before readmission to our department, and received a negative reply. Our manuscript has been carefully proofread and re-edited for grammar, punctuation, spelling, and overall style by a native English-speaking scientific editor engaged through Medjaden Inc. A language certificate is included in the uploaded documents.