

Point-by-point responses

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: In the manuscript titled “MKI67 is a potential biomarker for prostate cancer in diagnosis and prognosis based on two cohorts”, Song Zhen, et.al explored the diagnostic and prognostic efficacy of antigen identified by monoclonal antibody MKI67 expression in PCa. The predictive effect of MKI67 on prostate cancer was compared between two sources of public data and clinical cases. This study contains some interesting findings and is valuable for the understanding of PCa diagnosis. However, the lack of sample size estimation is the major flaw of the study. Therefore, MINOR revision has to be done so the manuscript could be accepted for publication in the World Journal of Clinical Cases Major comments:

Q1 Improve the sample size calculation method in the “method”.

Answer: Thank you for your valuable suggestions. After conducting research using the R language and consulting with statisticians, we have now added the content of the sample size calculation to the article. We hope that the revised article will be more rigorous and enhance the quality of the paper.

Q2 The current manuscript needs to be polished by a native English speaker or a professional language editing service.

Answer: Thank you for your valuable advice. We have now engaged a professional language polishing agency to refine the revised article. We hope that the quality of the modified article will meet your standards.

Q3 The format of the reference 1 is not uniform and some of the references are too old.

Answer: Thank you for your highly valuable suggestions. We have now made modifications to the references that did not meet the formatting requirements, and also replaced some of the outdated references.