

Dear Editors and Reviewers,

We are grateful for the opportunity to revise our manuscript entitled "The Impact of Transcranial Electrical Stimulation on Serum Neurotrophic Factors and Language Function in Patients with Speech Disorders" (Manuscript ID: 91433) and for the constructive comments provided by the reviewers. These comments were invaluable not only for improving the current manuscript but also in guiding our future research endeavors.

We have carefully considered each comment and have made the necessary amendments to our manuscript. Changes made in response to the comments are highlighted in red in the revised manuscript for ease of review. Below, we provide a detailed response to each of the comments and the corresponding actions we have taken.

Responses to Reviewer #1's Comments:

Comment: The manuscript requires editing by a native English speaker for minor language polishing.

Response: We have engaged a native English-speaking editor to thoroughly proofread and adjust the entire manuscript for language accuracy.

Comment: The limitations of the study should be further discussed.

Response: We have expanded the discussion of the study's limitations in the conclusion section.

Comment: Data in tables should be rechecked, and tables moved to the end of the text.

Response: We have carefully reviewed the data in all tables for accuracy and relocated them to the end of the manuscript.

Comment: The reference list should be updated and edited to include the PMID numbers for each reference.

Response: The reference list has been updated accordingly, with each reference now including both DOI and PMID numbers.

Specific Comments:

Comment: Please add an author contribution section in the specified format.

Response: An author contribution section has been added as requested.

Comment: Ensure the reference list provides PMID and DOI citation numbers, and list all authors of the references.

Response: This has been addressed with the inclusion of DOI and PMID numbers for all references in the revised list.

Comment: Abbreviations are not permitted in the article title, which should not exceed 18 words.

Response: The article title has been revised to comply with these guidelines.

Comment: Provide the primary version (PDF) of the Institutional Review Board's official

approval.

Response: Ethics committee approval has been provided.

Comment: Please provide the primary version (PDF) of the Informed Consent Form that has been signed by all subjects and investigators of the study, prepared in the official language of the authors' country.

Response: This is a retrospective study and the approval of the waiver of informed consent has been provided.

Comment: Please provide the filled conflict-of-interest disclosure form.

Response: It has been submitted to the system.

Comment: Please provide the Biostatistics Review Certificate.

Response: It has been submitted to the system.

Comment: Please provide the Clinical trial registration statement.

Response: This study was retrospective and this document is not required.

Comment: Please provide the CONSORT 2010 statement.

Response: This study was retrospective and this document is not required.

We believe these revisions have addressed the reviewers' concerns without altering the core content and framework of the paper. We are hopeful that our efforts meet your approval and look forward to your feedback.

Thank you again for your meticulous review and valuable suggestions. We appreciate your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Shu Wang