Dear Editors and Reviewers,

We want to thank you for your insightful comments and suggestions. We made all possible changes that were suggested and detailed them in the table below. We sincerely appreciate your insightful comments on the paper. We want to thank you again for your valuable time and contributions to strengthen our manuscript.

Yours truly,

Corresponding author on behalf of the authors.

		Comment	Response to reviewer comment
Reviewer 1	R1-1	1. The manuscript presents detailed information on various aspects of soft tissue sarcomas and their treatment. However, the inclusion of case studies or data to support these discussions would enhance the analytical depth of the manuscript.	Thank you for your comments. Case studies and their data are included to support discussions on this topic. The data was supported by quotations from articles on relevant subjects. Additionally, articles in this field are mentioned in a separate paragraph.
	R1-2	2. The article could benefit from a more explicit discussion of the limitations of current research and the challenges in applying these surgical approaches in various clinical settings.	Thank you for this comment. In the article, the limitations of existing research are mentioned. The challenges in applying these surgical approaches in various clinical settings are discussed.
	R1-3	3. To improve the manuscript, it would be valuable to include information on potential future research directions related to highlighting any potential limitations of the current knowledge in this field.	Thank you for this comment. Possible limitations of current knowledge in this area were highlighted. Information on potential future research directions is extensively included
Reviewer 2	R2-1	This is a good topic to discuss and important for oncology scholars. Despite that, the manuscript lacks some information as mentioning the indications and differences between prostheses in bone-related soft tissue sarcomas and classical prosthesis	Thank you for this comment. Indications for prostheses in bone-related soft tissue sarcomas were stated in detail. Added information about the differences between prostheses based on soft tissue

applications due to bone sarcomas. Mentioning real cases and their prognosis. I can suggest also a flow chart that sums up all the mentioned conditions to ease the reading and prevent confusion. Revising the article's English language structure, decreasing sentence length, rebuilding the paragraphs in a more convenient way for better understanding. Finally updating the old references used with a newer one.

sarcomas and classical prosthesis applications based on bone sarcomas.

Real case series and their prognoses were mentioned.

Long sentences have been revised.

Paragraphs have been rearranged. Studies on this subject are quite limited and it is necessary to add all of these studies, and some of these studies are relatively old.

It is not possible to replace these studies with more current versions.