

RESPONSE LETTER (Manuscript ID: 90306)

Dear Editor,

Thank you for providing review comments. We have specifically and thoroughly addressed each of the suggestions and have indicated where the content was addressed with a copied and pasted portion from the revised manuscript when appropriate, as you will see below.

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors:

This manuscript is nicely structured and well written. I have no question about this manuscript.

Author response: My co-authors and I would like to thank you for a review of our work. We really appreciate your comments.

(1) Science editor:

1 Conflict of interest statement: Academic Editor has no conflict of interest.

2 Scientific quality: The authors submitted an editorial on comprehensive and individualized approaches as a critical area for the development of telecardiac rehabilitation programs. The manuscript is overall qualified.

(1) Advantages and disadvantages: The reviewers have given positive peer-review reports for the manuscript. Classification: Grade C; Language Quality: Grade A. This manuscript is well structured and beautifully written. This manuscript deserves consideration for publication.

(2) Table(s) and figure(s): There is no Figure and Table.

(3) References: A total of 42 references are cited, including 37 published in the last 3 years. The reviewer didn't request the authors to cite improper references published by him/herself.

Author response: My co-authors and I would like to thank you for a review of our work. We really appreciate your comments.

3 Language evaluation: The English-language grammatical presentation needs to be improved to a certain extent. Before final acceptance, the authors must provide the English Language Certificate issued by a professional English language editing company. Please visit the following website for the professional English language editing companies we recommend:

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>.

Author response: The English-language certificate is attached with the revised files.

4 Recommendation: Transfer to World Journal of Cardiology.

Author response: We agree with potential transfer to World Journal of Cardiology.

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Scientific Quality: Transfer to another BPG Journal

(2) Company editor-in-chief:

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.

Author response: My co-authors and I would like to thank you for a review of our work. We really appreciate your comments.