

Point-by-Point Response to Reviewer's Comments

We appreciate the time and efforts spent by the editors on our manuscript, and we thank all the reviewers for their careful review, critical comments, and insightful suggestions. In the revised manuscript, we followed the professional suggestions to make a careful revision that helped us to improve our manuscript (please see below).

Please note: any changes we made in the revised manuscript were marked in yellow.

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: The topic is fascinating, but a thorough restructuring of the content is necessary. I kindly request the esteemed author to revise the entire article with a deeper and more coherent perspective, taking into consideration the suggested changes. Afterward, please resubmit it for further review. I believe this feedback will be valuable in improving the quality of your work. Best regards.

Response: Thank you for the positive and insightful comments by the reviewer on our work. Based on the reviewer's comments, we carefully restructured the manuscript and modified the entire article with a deeper and more coherent perspective. For specifics, please see the revised manuscript; any changes we made were marked in yellow.

EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS:

(1) Science editor:

The manuscript has been peer-reviewed, and it is ready for the first decision.

Response: Thank you for the positive decision on our manuscript.

(2) Company editor-in-chief:

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before its final acceptance, please provide and upload the following important documents: Biostatistics Review Certificate, a statement affirming that the statistical review of the study was performed by a biomedical statistician; Clinical Trial Registration Statement, an important document required for any clinical research study that prospectively assigns human participants or groups of humans to one or more health-related interventions to evaluate the effects on health outcomes; Institutional Review Board Approval Form or Document, the primary version (PDF) of the Institutional Review Board's official approval, prepared in the official language of the authors' country; Signed Informed Consent Form(s) or Document(s), the primary version (PDF) of the Informed Consent Form that has been signed by all subjects and investigators of the study, prepared in the official language of the authors' country; CONSORT 2010 Statement, an important document related to manuscript writing of clinical

trial, randomized controlled trial, and randomized clinical trial research, and prospective studies. The quality of the English language of the manuscript does not meet the requirements of the journal. Before final acceptance, it is recommended that the authors provide the English Language Certificate issued by a professional English language editing company. Please visit the following website for the professional English language editing companies we recommend: <https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>. Before final acceptance, uniform presentation should be used for figures showing the same or similar contents; for example, "Figure 1 Pathological changes of atrophic gastritis after treatment. A: ...; B: ...; C: ...; D: ...; E: ...; F: ...; G: ...". Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. In order to respect and protect the author's intellectual property rights and prevent others from misappropriating figures without the author's authorization or abusing figures without indicating the source, we will indicate the author's copyright for figures originally generated by the author, and if the author has used a figure published elsewhere or that is copyrighted, the author needs to be authorized by the previous publisher or the copyright holder and/or indicate the reference source and copyrights. Please check and confirm whether the figures are original (i.e. generated de novo by the author(s) for this paper). If the picture is 'original', the author needs to add the following copyright information to the bottom right-hand side of the picture in PowerPoint (PPT): Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023. Authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content. Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s).

Response: Thank you for the comments by the editor-in-chief. According to the comments, we have prepared and uploaded all the required materials and carefully revised our manuscript following the steps provided by the editor.