

Answering Reviewers

Thank you very much for taking the time to review this manuscript.

Revisor 1. The title “Microsurgical Management of Radicular Cyst using Guided Tissue Regeneration technique: A Case Report” reflects the main subject of the manuscript. The abstract summarizes and reflects the work described in the manuscript. The key words reflect the focus of the manuscript. Adequately describes the background, present status and significance of the study, the manuscript describes methods in adequate detail. The research objectives achieved by the experiments used in this report. The successful outcome of this case confirms the efficacy of microsurgical management in GTR using correctly chosen biomaterials for the enucleation of the radicular cyst, which is the contribution that the study has made for research progress in this field. The manuscript interprets the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically. The legends are adequate and accurately reflective of the images/illustrations shown. The manuscript meets the requirements of ethics, biostatistics, use of SI unit. Well organized and presented, the manuscript appropriately cites the latest, important and authoritative references in the Introduction and Discussion sections. The author does not self-cite, omit, incorrectly cite and/or over-cite references. The style, language and grammar are accurate and appropriate. PERSONAL AND FAMILY HISTORY
Mother was diagnosed with high “bold” pressure in 2017 : change "bold" to "blood"?

Response. Thank you very much for your valuable input. The suggested modification was made.

Revisor 2. It is well written case report with minimal grammar and spelling mistakes

abstract is concise and informative

introduction is adequate and clear

case report is explained well

discussion is concise and purposeful

conclusion is accepted.

Response. Thank you very much for your valuable input.