

Format for ANSWERING REVIEWERS

June 18, 2015

Dear Editor,



Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in Word format (file name: 18266-review.doc).

Title: Littoral cell angioma: A case report

Author: Amanda Bailey, Jeffrey Vos, Jon Cardinal

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

ESPS Manuscript NO: 18266

Thank you for taking time to review my submission for the World Journal of Clinical Cases. I appreciate all the recommendations you have provided. The manuscript has been revised and is being re-submitted. Most of the changes are highlighted in yellow.

The manuscript has been improved according to the suggestions of reviewers:

1 Going through the article, the first page has been filled out with the identification of the author contributions, conflict of interest, ethics approval, abstract and core tip as requested.

2 The next change was rewording of the immunohistochemical results for better accuracy and precision in conveying the pathological findings, as well as the immunohistochemical stains were added as requested. Figure 4 is the CD68 stain, Figure 6 is the CD34 stain, Figure 7 is the CD31 stain.

3 The next change was addition of the IRB/ethics approval and foot note.

4 The next highlighted area is the addition of further discussion regarding molecular alterations in LCA and their use in pathological diagnosis of LCA.

5 The next large highlighted area addresses the comments of two reviewers. The first is a detailed discussion of the malignant cases of LCA and similarities of differences between them and the case presented. In this discussion the important reference of J Gastrointest Surg was used to help portray malignant variation that has been reported and contrasting it to the presented patient.

6 In general multiple grammar areas were addressed, the format was addressed and the case characteristic responses were added.

7 In response to a review regarding the pathological pictures, a low power picture of the H& E stain was added (Figure 3). The pathology department did not have a picture of the cut surface of the resected spleen to provide, this was unable to be added as requested by the reviewer.

8 The table was edited to fit the format requested. I was unable to add additional columns for stem cell properties

and genetic aberrations for each splenic tumor as requested by the reviewer.

9 The audio core tip was attached as requested. The google scholar page was attached. I was unable to perform the cross check and Yue-Li agreed to help with performing that.

10 The copyright assessment form has been signed and attached.

Thank you very much again for all of your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Amanda Bailey