

Point-by-point revision

Reviewer 1:

The technique described in the manuscript is not novel and has already been studied in larger number of patients. Secondly, the manuscript requires great deal of language polishing for it to be publishable

Answer:

1. The technique was not novel, however this is the first report to confirm that a 10 mm SEMS could be used safely in EUS- guided pancreaticogastrostomy.
2. We have already has the language polishing as recommended.

Reviewer 2:

This is an interesting case report aimed at describing and explaining the treatment of pancreatic duct obstruction by endoscopic ultrasound-guided pancreaticogastrostomy using a 10 mm in diameter fully covered metallic stent. Some minor points could addressed. Please do not use abbreviations in the title and abstract. Pg. 3, Line 31. Please change “(“ with “-“ The manuscript could benefit from proof reading and editing by an English-speaking native.

Answer:

1. All the abbreviations are not used anymore.
2. We have already has the language polishing as recommended.

Reviewer 3:

1.This is an interesting case about chronic obstructive pancreatitis with pancreatic duct stricture successfully treated by endoscopic ultrasound-guided pancreaticogastrostomy using a 10 mm in diameter fully covered metallic stent. 2. The patient should be followed with serum tumor markers and CT in case of pancreatic tumor.

Answer:

1. Thank you very much.
2. We have already inserted the followed-up blood works and CT scan result in the manuscript txt body already.