

Response to Reviewers

We wish to resubmit our manuscript, which has been revised on the basis of critical comments by reviewers. We are grateful for all the comments by reviewers. Here we have a response, clarifying the modifications in the revised manuscripts.

Response to Reviewers

Comments

Reviewer 00068388

This case report shows resolution of symptoms with PPI use, not a causative link with the inlet patch – the report would be stronger with dual channel pH monitoring to exclude reflux into the distal esophagus, and high acid levels in the upper esophagus. I don't think the IHC provides proof of a relationship between the throat symptoms and the inlet patch. If this patient had LA grade A esophagitis, and no pH Monitoring, it is not possible to say that the inlet patch was the cause of sufficient acid production to cause the claimed symptoms. Conventional gastroesophageal reflux has been demonstrated by endoscopy – hence as there is an alternative (more conventional) explanation for the clinical problem, the hypothesis posed is not proven.

Reviewer 00058358

The author shows us an interesting case report that there maybe has some relationship between Esophageal heterotopic gastric mucosa and proton pumps. The diagnosis rate of Esophageal heterotopic gastric mucosa is increasing because of the recent development and spread of image-enhanced endoscopy. Although this case can be explainable by gastro-esophageal reflux, it provides a new view of possible reason for gastro-esophageal reflux. As we know although some different digestive disease can be therapy with the same medicine but the reason and theory are different and we could not say they are the same disease.

--- We thank for the reviewers' comment and agree to the criticism. We added the discussion about the relationship between the existence of proton pump and

acid secreting function in CIP; **Page 6 Line 3-7.**