

Dear Editor:

Dear editor: We have updated the textual content and references to strengthen the manuscript as a literature review . We express the new textual content and references in the red part.

Thank you for your kind letter about our manuscript(No. 41445). We revised the manuscript in accordance with the reviewers' comments, and carefully proof-read the manuscript to minimize grammatical errors. Here below is our description on revision according to the reviewers' comments.

We thank the reviewer for their suggestion

Part A (Reviewer's code: 00227505).

1. The reviewer's comment: The authors should prepare the macroscopic view of specimen of the resected pancreas

The authors' Answer: We have added the figure of specimen of the resected pancreas


2. The reviewer's comment: In all panels have no scale

The authors' Answer: we have added scale to our figure.

3. The reviewer's comment: A: What is this staining for? Oil red? B: The authors should prepare not only low but also high magnification of panels. C: What is this staining? B

esides, the authors describe as Immunophenotyping showed Syn(+), CD56(+), CD10(+), β -catenin(+), Vim(+), AE1/AE3(+), PR(+), CgA(-), E-cad(-), and Ki-67(+/3%).


The authors' Answer: A:HE staining



B:(HE staining $\times 200$)



C1



C2

(immunohistochemistry $\times 200$)

C1:Syn(+) (red arrows in the figure)

C2:CD56(+), CD10(+) (red arrows in the figure)

Some grammatical errors have been revised. All the pages are in the revised manuscript. Thank you and all the reviewers for the kind advice.

Sincerely yours

Meng-Yu Zhang

Bo-Le Tian