

2

**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 38502

**Title:** Robotic-assisted Resection of Choledochal Cyst in Children: Case report and literature review

**Reviewer's code:** 03013982

**Reviewer's country:** United States

**Science editor:** Xue-Jiao Wang

**Date sent for review:** 2018-02-28

**Date reviewed:** 2018-03-05

**Review time:** 4 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is an excellent case of robotic-assisted resection of choledochal cyst in children. In this report, the authors described the key surgical points and the clinical effects of choledochocystectomy in children performed completely by DaVinci robotic system. The patients's information is full and interesting. And the literature was well reviewed and discussed. Comments 1 The abstract and main text should be edited according to the format of case report. This manuscript described one case, and it's a case report not original articles. 2 Literature review should combined into discussion. 3 Some minor language polishing should be corrected.



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

**Answer to reviewer 1:**

Thank you for your professional opinion and advice. We revised our case report according to your kind suggestion:

**Comments 1 The abstract and main text should be edited according to the format of case report. This manuscript described one case, and it's a case report not original articles.**

Answer: We revised our article according to Case-report template, mainly for the abstract, case description and discussion.

**2 Literature review should combined into discussion.**

Answer: According your professional advice, we change the article structure to 3 parts Introduction, Case-report and Discussion, which including the literature review.

**3 Some minor language polishing should be corrected.**

Answer: Language is carefully and thoroughly re-polished by the authors and American Journal Experts.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 38502

**Title:** Robotic-assisted Resection of Choledochal Cyst in Children: Case report and literature review

**Reviewer's code:** 02546253

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Xue-Jiao Wang

**Date sent for review:** 2018-03-03

**Date reviewed:** 2018-03-06

**Review time:** 3 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Although this paper provides nothing new, I think it is worth publishing after appropriate amendments made. Major 1) The authors diagnosed this case as type-IVa congenital choledochal cyst. However, preoperative images (ie; MRCP) did not clearly demonstrate the type of congenital choledochal cyst. Please change the MRCP images to make the type of congenital choledochal cyst clear. 2) In "Clinical information", please add the preoperative diagnosis of the type of congenital choledochal cyst 3) In "Discussion", the authors discussed "the classification of choledochal cyst and the surgery for the type IVa" over three paragraph. This content should be added to the 2nd paragraph of "Discussion". 4) The authors did not discuss the advantages of robotic surgery for congenital choledochal cyst over the laparoscopic or open approach. If the aim of this article was to describe the clinical effects of choledochocystectomy in children

performed completely by DaVinci robotic system, the discussion about the comparison among these approaches would be hoped. Minor 1) In 2nd line of "Abstract", ".. by DaVinci ..." should be changed to ".. by DaVinci ..". 2) The patient name seemed to be in MRI image. Please delete the patient name. 3) The word "congenital choledochal cyst" was repeated in so many times in this manuscript. Please use the abbreviation. 4) In 7th paragraph of "Discussion", the authors described the sentence of "In vitro jejunum-jejunum end-to-end anastomosis....". I think "end-to side" may be correct. 5) In 7th paragraph of "Discussion", "Iva" should be changed to "IVa".

#### **Answer to reviewer 2:**

Thank you for your professional opinion and give us an opportunity to revise our graft. We revised our case report according to your kind suggestions:

Major 1) The authors diagnosed this case as type-IVa congenital choledochal cyst. However, preoperative images (ie; MRCP) did not clearly demonstrate the type of congenital choledochal cyst. Please change the MRCP images to make the type of congenital choledochal cyst clear.

We replace the MRCP picture and use 3D reconstruction of liver and choledocyst to demonstrate the real structure.

2) In "Clinical information", please add the preoperative diagnosis of the type of congenital choledochal cyst.

We describe type of congenital choledochal cyst in the preoperative diagnosis, which make the paper to be easily read.

3) In "Discussion", the authors discussed "the classification of choledochal cyst and the surgery for the type IVa" over three paragraphs. This content should be added to the 2nd paragraph of "Discussion".

Discussion is divided into 3 parts. All the paragraphs mentioned above is rearranged as first part "The classification of CCs".

4) The authors did not discuss the advantages of robotic surgery for congenital choledochal cyst over the laparoscopic or open approach. If the aim of this article was to describe the clinical effects of choledochocystectomy in children performed completely by DaVinci robotic system, the discussion about the comparison among these approaches would be hoped.

Discussion is divided into 3 parts. The 3rd part will discuss the advantages and challenges of robotic surgery for congenital choledochal cyst over the laparoscopic or

open approach

Minor 1) In 2nd line of "Abstract", ".. by DaVinci ..." should be changed to ".. by DaVinci ..".

We change our abstract.

2) The patient name seemed to be in MRI image. Please delete the patient name.

As your advice, we delete the patient name.

3) The word "congenital choledochal cyst" was repeated in so many times in this manuscript. Please use the abbreviation.

CCs is used as the the abbreviation of "congenital choledochal cysts".

4) In 7th paragraph of "Discussion", the authors described the sentence of "In vitro jejunum-jejunum end-to-end anastomosis....". I think "end-to side" may be correct.

"End-to side" is definitely the correct one and we revised according to your advice.

5) In 7th paragraph of "Discussion", "Iva" should be changed to "IVa".

In 7th paragraph of "Discussion", "Iva" is changed to "IVa".

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 38502

**Title:** Robotic-assisted Resection of Choledochal Cyst in Children: Case report and literature review

**Reviewer's code:** 02945967

**Reviewer's country:** Denmark

**Science editor:** Xue-Jiao Wang

**Date sent for review:** 2018-02-28

**Date reviewed:** 2018-03-08

**Review time:** 7 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
----------------	---------------------	-----------------------	------------



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://** www.wjgnet.com

<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This case is worth publishing after minor language revision.

### Answer to the reviewer 3:

Thank you for your professional opinion and advice. Language is carefully and thoroughly re-polished by the authors and American Journal Experts.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 38502

**Title:** Robotic-assisted Resection of Choledochal Cyst in Children: Case report and literature review

**Reviewer's code:** 03016556

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Xue-Jiao Wang

**Date sent for review:** 2018-02-28

**Date reviewed:** 2018-03-08

**Review time:** 8 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an very interesting report about a case as type-IVa congenital choledochal cyst. The structure of the manuscript should be re-arranged. After that, it can be published.

#### Answer to reviewer 4:

Thank you for your professional advice. We revised our article according to Case-report template, mainly for the abstract, case description and discussion. Article structure was divided into 3 parts: Introduction, Case-report and Discussion, which including the literature review.