

Re: submission of our revised manuscript NO.51253 to World Journal of Clinical Cases “*Spontaneous ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome: two case reports*”

Dear Editor,

Dear Reviewer,

We would like to thank you for your review and comments entitled “*Spontaneous ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome: two case reports*”. Enclosed please find the revision of our manuscript. The authors are Jie Zhang, Wangming Xu, Lei Ming and I.

We appreciate the comments of the reviewer and the Editor and have incorporated their suggestions in the revised manuscript. All changes are highlighted in yellow.

We believe that the comments significantly improved the manuscript and hope that the article is now acceptable for publication in the *World Journal of Clinical Cases*.

Below, please find our responses to the reviewer and to the Editor.

Thank you for your attention!

Sincerely,

Dr. Juan Gui

Responses to reviewer

1. page 1 / line 24: Authors probably mean "patients"

We thank the reviewer for the revision. In the revised manuscript, we have corrected the grammatical mistake.

2. page 7 / line 11: Authors probably mean "stomach prophylaxis"

We thank the reviewer for the comment. In the revised manuscript, we have corrected the grammatical mistake. We used Rabeprazole Sodium 40mg/day as the gastro-protective therapy.

3. *page 7 / line 11: What was the regimen of "liver protection" ?*

We thank the reviewer for the comment. We used Reduced glutathione 2.4g/day as the hepatoprotective therapy.

4. *page 7 / line 16, 17-18 / Please, reconsider grammar and syntax*

We agree with the reviewer that this statement is not well organized and should be rephrased. In the revised manuscript, we have now re-written the sentence on page 7 as follows:

The patient responded well to our treatment and was discharged 2 weeks after admission. Her abdominal distension was relieved, and she had no dyspnea in the supine position, only with mild cough when she left the hospital. The pregnancy proceeded normally, and the patient had spontaneous onset labor at 40 weeks of gestation and underwent an uncomplicated vaginal delivery of a male newborn.

5. *Authors probably mean "newborn"*

We thank the reviewer for the revision. In the revised manuscript, we have corrected the grammatical mistake.

6. *page 7 / line 23: Authors probably mean "complaints"*

We thank the reviewer for the revision. In the revised manuscript, we have corrected the grammatical mistake.

Responses to Editor

We thank the editor for the comments. This manuscript has been revised by Dr. Rui-hao Wang from University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Germany. We have change the telephone number to the office telephone number. The approved grant application form and figures have been uploaded.