**Reviewer's suggestion**

This manuscript of case report describes the successful treatment of a patient who complained of unexplained abdominal pain by removing a fish bone migrating to pancreas. Although, such case is rare, but sometimes has been reported in the form of a case report. Nonetheless, this paper is unique in that the findings from the upper endoscopy suggested foreign body penetration. If minor revisions such as the following are performed, it will be a better case report.
1. It is important to know when the patient has been treated because there will be a difference between the time of actual treatment and the time of publication. One of the most important dates for first medical examination, diagnosis, or surgery should be recorded in the 'case history' session.
2. Although the patient's consent was obtained, it is not necessarily that the patient's personal information should be exposed. It would be better that patient information displayed on the image in the figures be erased.

# Corresponding reply to manuscript question

**Reply to the reviewer's questions**

First of all, Thank you for your questions and suggestions, I have already replaced or revised the following questions content as you requested.

1. It is important to know when the patient has been treated because there will be a difference between the time of actual treatment and the time of publication. One of the most important dates for first medical examination, diagnosis, or surgery should be recorded in the 'case history' session.

Dear reviewers: Thanks for your kind suggestion. The new information first medical examination, diagnosis were added in the 'case history.

2. Although the patient's consent was obtained, it is not necessarily that the patient's personal information should be exposed. It would be better that patient information displayed on the image in the figures be erased.

Dear reviewers: Thanks for your kind suggestion. The patient's personal information was deleted.

Sincerely,
Responder