

Dear Editor,

Thank you for considering the manuscript entitled “Diagnosis of follicular lymphoma by Laparoscopy: case report and the literature review” (43033). We really appreciate all the valuable comments and constructive suggestions from reviewers. We have substantially revised the manuscript and a point-by-point response was enclosed. We would like to re-submit the revised manuscript to the **World Journal of Clinical Cases**, and hope it is acceptable for publication in the journal. Please do not hesitate to contact us for any question or concern.

We look forward to your final decision.

Sincerely yours

Jun Yao

Responses to reviewer :1

1. As a whole, this paper is well written.

As you described, this case is rare and laparoscopy seemed to be useful for the diagnosis of follicular lymphoma in this case.

I have a little suggestion about the sentence of your conclusion.

You wrote that “Therefore, early detection of follicular lymphoma is **crucial** for the prognosis of patients. When the lymphoma is transformed, the mortality rate of patients increases. Laparoscopy is very **important** for diagnosing ascites due to unexplained

reasons, and it is sometimes **important** for the treatment and prognosis of patients.”

You repeated similar words, “crucial” and “important” in the above sentences. In addition, the meaning of the last sentence is a little difficult to understand. I guess the last sentence may be better to change to “Laparoscopy is very useful for diagnosing ascites due to unexplained reasons, and it is sometimes important for the determining the treatment plan for those patients and predicting the prognosis.”

Response: Thank you. We have revised the sentence of the conclusion