

Science Editor, Editorial Office
World Journal of Clinical Cases

Reviewer 00071705:

Introduction is informative and well-written. In the material and method section, there are some subjective and inappropriate comments. For example “If the patient does not take the ureteral lysis which is time-consuming and may damage the vital organs and blood vessels around the ureter, the placement of the ureteral stent can temporarily relieve the pressure on the kidney, protect the kidney function, and improve patients’ quality of life. It is also a good solution. Moreover, general polymer stents were not able to provide good drainage completely because of the weak resistance to stress.” This part should remove from this section. Case reports section is too long and there are many unnecessary and non-surgical details. They should trimmed and it should get shorter. There are many figures, in my opinion figure 1 is enough and figures 2 and 3 should remove from the manuscript. The paper can be published after these revisions.

RE: Manuscript NO 53528

Thank you for your review of our manuscript, and for the decision that it is eligible for potential publication pending revision. We have carefully revised the manuscript based on the specific comments. Changes to the manuscript have been recorded with the track changes function. We are very grateful for your support of our work, and look forward to your next correspondence.

Sincerely yours,
Wei Gao