

ANSWERING REVIEWERS

Reviewer's code: 03648840

1- In the abstract, conclusion section needs to be revised to be more informative. 2- The introduction section is very brief and needs to be improved by adding more studies. 3- Discussion section is very long and needs to be summarized.

1- In the abstract, conclusion section needs to be revised to be more informative.

Our response:

Thank you for your kind advice. Revised as suggested.

2- The introduction section is very brief and needs to be improved by adding more studies.

Our response:

Thank you for your excellent advice. Revised as suggested, please see "INTRODUCTION", We added more information about the current anti-scar measures, the psychological status and effects of burn patients, and briefly described our post-burn treatment of burn patients and their prognosis.

References:

3 Akita S, Hayashida K, Takaki S, Kawakami Y, Oyama T, Ohjimi H. The neck burn scar contracture: a concept of effective treatment. Burns Trauma 2017;5:22 [DOI:10.1186/s41038-017-0086-8]

4 Balumuka DD, Galiwango GW, Alenyo R. Recurrence of post burn contractures of the elbow and shoulder joints: experience from a Ugandan hospital. BMC Surg 2015;15:103 [DOI:10.1186/s12893-015-0089-y]

5 Schneider JC, Holavanahalli R, Helm P, O'Neil C, Goldstein R, Kowalske K. Contractures in burn injury part II: investigating joints of the hand. J Burn Care Res 2008;29:606-613

[DOI:10.1097/BCR.0b013e31817db8e1]

6 Willyard C. Unlocking the secrets of scar-free skin healing. Nature 2018;563:S86-s88

[DOI:10.1038/d41586-018-07430-w]

3- Discussion section is very long and needs to be summarized.

Our response:

Thank you for your kind advice. Revised as suggested, please see “DISCUSSION”, We removed the repetitive expression and content that less related to our study.