

Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 55344

Manuscript Type: CASE REPORT

Dear editor:

Please find attached a revised version of our manuscript "A Giant Benign Phyllodes Breast Tumour with Pulmonary Nodule Mimicking Malignancy: Case Report and Literature Review", which we would like to resubmit for publication in World Journal of Clinical Cases.

Your comments and those of the reviewers were highly insightful and enabled us to greatly improve the quality of our manuscript. In the following pages are our point-by-point responses to each of the comments of the reviewers as well as your own comments.

Response to reviewer #1:

1.Recommend author re-review of manuscript for reviewer-noted double spaces between words, incorrect spellings (outlined below), lack of capitalization at the beginning of multiple sentences, etc. I have outlined only a few of these here

a.Page 3, case summary: "diagnosise" should be changed to diagnosis

b.Page 3, case summary: "conformed" should be changed to confirmed

c.Page 6, imaging examinations: "firsts" should be changed to first

d.Page 9, can omit the word "the" , in "...more like the cachexia"

Response: we re-reviewed of manuscript for double spaces between words and correct spellings. We already check the capitalization at the beginning of multiple sentences. I have outlined only a few of these here.

a.Page 7, case presentation: "Over the past 1 month" should be changed to "Over the past month"

b.Page 7, case presentation: "fevering" should be changed to fever

c.Page 8, case presentation: "MRI" should be changed to mammary magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)

2.Abstract

-Recommend adding to the abstract that this manuscript includes a literature review, and describe what exactly the literature review includes.

Response:Revisions in the text are shown using yellow highlight for additions.

BACKGROUND: In order that doctors may have a comprehensive understanding of super-giant

benign PTs (≥ 20 cm). We also performed a literature review to summarize clinical features,

differential diagnosis, treatment selections of this disease.

CONCLUSION: In the literature, almost one-half super-giant benign cases were suspected as malignant tumours before surgery. This finding reminds us to consider all conditions to make an accurate diagnosis and avoid excessive treatment.

3.Literature review

-Recommend adding a statement to the Discussion section that indicates “how” your literature review identified the 20 cases... what was used (e.g. PubMed, Medline), what was required for inclusion, etc

Response:

We searched PubMed from inception up to September, 2019 for “large OR huge OR massive OR giant OR big”, “phylloides tumor” and “benign” as key words. We summarized and analyzed 12 cases of super-giant benign PTs (including our case), and help doctors to have a comprehensive understanding of this disease.

Response to Editorial Office’ s comments

The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor

Response: The original figures have been prepared in PowerPoint

We hope that the revisions in the manuscript and our accompanying responses will be sufficient to make our manuscript suitable for publication in *World Journal of Clinical Cases*.

We shall look forward to hearing from you at your earliest convenience.

Yours sincerely