

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Accept (High priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: Small study but useful results.

Reply : Thank you for your comment.

1. Science Editor: 5 Issues raised:

(1) The title is too long, and it should be no more than 12 words;

Reply : Thank you for your comment. We edited the title as “Gemcitabine Plus Nab-Paclitaxel versus FOLFIRINOX for patients with Metastatic Pancreatic Cancer”

(2) The authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s);

Reply : Thank you for your comment. We attached funding agency copy of any approval document. It is consisted with Korean.

(3) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor;

Reply : Thank you for your comment. We upload original picture files as a Power point file.

(4) PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout; and

Reply : Thank you for your coment. We edited reference as your comment.

(5) The “Article Highlights” section is missing. Please add the “Article Highlights” section at the end of the main text.

Reply : Thank you for your comment. “Article highlight” section was located between main text and reference.

2. Editorial Office Director:

The authors need to provide original informed consent.

The authors have written the “article highlight” section.

Reply : Thank you for your comment. Our study was retrospective observation study. So, we were approved waive of the informed consent by institutional IRB.

3. Company Editor-in-Chief

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, the relevant ethics documents, and the English Language Certificate, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office’s comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.

Reply : Thank you for your comment. We revised our manuscripts according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office’s comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.