Reply to reviewers

Dear Editor,
Thank you for reviewing the manuscript. I would like to than the reviewers for their valid points. The manuscript has been revised according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision. All revisions were highlighted in **Bold font** in the manuscript.
Please find below a point-to point response to the issues raised in the peer-review reports.

Reviewer #1:

1. The case report has been supplemented with more details as advised.
2. A new image for abdominal radiograph has been added (image: 3).

Reviewer #2:

1. The post menstrual age and chronological age have been added to the case presentation.
2. The case report has been supplemented with more details according to the points raised.
3. X-ray before and after have been added (Figures 1 and 3).
4. Incidental finding of ET tube in right main stem bronchus causing left sided atelectasis of lung was mentioned in the figure description. The figure was annotated accordingly.
5. The conclusion was rephrased as advised.

Science Editor:

1. Author Contributions have been added.
2. Written informed consent has been obtained from the mother and uploaded.
3. Original pictures have been uploaded in PowerPoint file.
4. PMID and DOI numbers have been added to the references.
5. The Case Presentation section has been modified and rewritten according to the guidelines.