To. Editor and reviewers

World Journal of Clinical Cases

Reviewers' comments:

1) Background focused on classic OLT. However, there is an OLT related to gout. Should be revised. It would be better to state what treatment is given and how much is followed in the case summary section. Most of the background focused on classic OLT. However, there is an olt related to gout. Should be revised

→ I revised manuscript that focused on OLT related to gout. I reinforced introduction and discussion with recent articles. I stated treatment of the case briefly in the case summary session. Thank you.

2) If possible, follow-up direct radiography should be added

➔ I added follow-up radiographic images. Thank you.

3) The discussion is inadequate. Diagnosis and treatment difficulties and treatment options and treatment results of gout located in the talus are not discussed sufficiently. The following article should be read and a paragraph about the surgical treatment of gout should be added with reference to this article e (Öztürk R, Atalay İB, Bulut EK, Beltir G, Yılmaz S, Güngör BŞ. Place of orthopedic surgery in gout. Eur J Rheumatol 2019; 6(4): 212-5). In addition, the literature should be scanned in terms of gut cases with talus and these cases should be included in the discussion section. Maybe even a table can be made. The authors should discuss their cases in the light of the literature. The authors did not refer to the case of gout in any talus, and in the article they focused mainly on OLT

→ Thak you for introducing good reference. I revised discussion session with your reference and others.

Editorial Office's comments:

1) This article has been constructed on a well object and it is a good study. However, there are so many parts needed to be revised in the study. If possible, follow-up direct radiography should be added. The discussion is inadequate. Diagnosis and treatment difficulties and treatment options and treatment results of gout located in the talus are not discussed sufficiently. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered.

→ I reinforced introduction and discussion with recent articles. Thank you.

2) There are 4 figures. A total of 12 references are cited, without references published in the last 3 years. The authors need to update the references. There are no self-citation.

→ I revised and added figures and references. So, There are 6 figures and 19 references. I revised introduction and discussion session with recent articles. Thank you.

3) Please rephrase these repeated sentences.

→ I rephrased those sentences. Thank you for your comment.

4) I found the authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s).

→ I attached approved grant application form(in Korean). Thank you.

5) I found the authors did not provide the original figures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor.

→ I prepare and arrange figures using MS PowerPoint. Thank you.

6) I found the authors did not add the PMID and DOI in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references.

→ I added PMID and DOI. One reference that was published in korea remains, because I did not find any PMID and DOI. Thank you