

May 10,2020

Dear editor,

Please find attached files of revised manuscript in word format

**Title: Clinical value of the Needleless sling in the treatment of female stress urinary incontinence**

**Author:** Yu-Guo Chen<sup>1</sup>, Yao-Guang Zhang<sup>2</sup>, Wei Zhang<sup>3</sup>, Xin Li<sup>4</sup>, Xia Wang<sup>5</sup>

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 55396

First of all, thank you for your careful guidance of this article. Revision has been made according to the suggestions of the reviewer:

**Reviewer: 03012126**

Very interesting study. Only a minor language editing is required. Thank you very much.

**Reviewer: 05185788**

The severity of stress urinary incontinence varies; patients with mild cases only have very little urine overflow, which leads to a small impact on daily life, but some severe urinary incontinence cases lead to more urine overflow, which seriously affects patients' daily life. At present, there are many methods available for treating stress incontinence, such as life feedback training and pelvic floor muscle function exercises. However, these kinds of treatment are time-consuming and have highly variable curative effects. Tension-free mid-spaldas suspension is a common surgical treatment, but some scholars have pointed out that there are some potential risks of the surgical treatment, such as puncture site injuries, hematomas, and long-lasting inguinal area pain; in addition, patients generally develop severe pain after the operation, and the clinical applications are also limited. There are relatively few clinical reports on this treatment. In this study, the clinical value of the needle-free sling was further analyzed. The design of the study is good, aims are clear. Inclusion and exclusion criteria are reasonable and clear. Overall, the manuscript is well written. Minor comments: 1. A background is missing in the abstract. 2. Tables

are good, but requires editing. 3. References are updated. However, the style of the references should be edited and updated.

Thank you for your advice.

After receiving the comments, we read the article carefully and found some small loopholes in the language of the article and made modifications. I added a background section to the abstract and cut the conclusion. Edited and moved the chart to the end of the article. And I changed the style of the references

According to the suggestion, we changed the relevant description.

Thank you again for publishing our manuscript in the World Journal of Clinical Cases.

Sincerely Yours,

Xia Wang