

Manuscript Number: 55887

“Massive Gastrointestinal Bleeding Caused by a Dieulafoy’s Lesion In a Duodenal Diverticulum: A Case Report ”

Dear Lian-Sheng Ma,

Thank you very much for informing us about our manuscript titled “Massive Gastrointestinal Bleeding Caused by a Dieulafoy’s Lesion In a Duodenal Diverticulum: A Case Report” (Manuscript #: 55887).

We are thankful to the reviewers for their constructive suggestions. The reviewer’s comments were very helpful. We revised our manuscript as suggested by the reviewers. Please find our responses to the comments below. Meanwhile, in the revised manuscript, the changed places were marked by red color. We hope that our revisions adequately address the reviewers’ concerns.

Although maybe some of our views were not thorough enough, we still hope the editor could help us for consideration of publishing our manuscript.

Yours Sincerely,
Dr. Xiao-cong Liu

Responses to reviewer 1

Comments of Reviewer 1: Title: there is a mistake in “Dieulafoy`s`s”

-Taking into consideration the size of the showed artery in figure 3, it could be argued that one of the clips is not in a right location..

Response: Thanks and we are so sorry for the typo. We have revised the related parts accordingly. About the clips, I guess you were talking about the clip on the left side. Actually, we firstly placed the one on the right side, which is very close to the vessel stump. However, because there was no active bleeding before we place the first clip, it's hard to tell whether that clip alone could completely close the vessel. So we placed the second clip next to the first one to furtherly compress the tissue and vessel, which would reduce the recurrence of bleeding.

Comments of Reviwer 2: The authors have presented an interesting case. However, the following points need to be looked into. 1. The case report should be in paragraphs without so many subheadings as it distracts the reader. 2. The lesion could be an ulcer as well within the diverticulum. Any other imaging done to prove that this is an aberrant vessel would be more useful. 3. Do not use abbreviations like IV. Use full forms eg. intravenous.

Response: Thanks for the suggestion. We feel so sorry and totally understand that the subheadings may be bothering though this format is

required by the journal. And we have replaced the abbreviation of IV by intravenous. We are very sorry that we did not take more images to show the diverticulum and the vessel stump, but in our opinion, the picture in Figure 2 is very clear and showing that there was no ulcer in the diverticulum.

Comments of Reviewer 3: would recommend adding images if possible, or video if available.

Response: That is also our great regret that we were not able to record a video of the whole proccession. We do have more images to provide though we think the selected 3 images are the best ones. We can provide other images as supplementary documents if necessary.