

Response to Reviewers

Dear Editors,

Thank you. We were pleased to hear that our manuscript, entitled “Chest, Pericardium, Abdomen and Thigh Penetrating Injury by a Steel Rebar: A Case Report” (Manuscript No.58809), was rated as potentially acceptable for publication in your journal, pending Minor revision. We thank the editors and reviewers for the time and effort that they have put into reviewing the manuscript. Your suggestions have enabled us to improve our manuscript, which we have revised based on your suggestions.

Appended to this letter is our point -by-point response to the comments raised by the editors and reviewers. We included the comments with our responses directly afterward.

We hope that the revised manuscript would be accepted for publication in the *World Journal of Clinical Cases*.

Sincerely,

Wentao Wang

Xianwei Yang

Reviewers comments

Peer-review report(s)- Reviewer #1:

Specific Comments to Authors: The authors reported a very rare case with penetrating injury by a rebar. The patients with life-threatening injuries was evaluated with computed tomography and surgically treated by more than 10 surgeons. Eventually he was discharged without any events. The extremely rare case with severe injuries was treated successfully. There is one queries for the authors to answer. One similar case report with literature review is already published (Zhang M, et al. Combined penetrating trauma of the head, neck, chest, abdomen and scrotum caused by falling from a high altitude: A case report and literature review. Int Emerg Nurs. 2019 May;44:1-7. doi: 10.1016/j.ienj.2019.01.001.). Please refer it and make more detailed discussion in this manuscript.

Reply: We appreciate Reviewer #1, and the valuable suggestions for our work. We have cited this document (**Reference [4]**) and discussed it in detail in the discussion section ("Similar to the case reported by Zhang et al[4], emergency treatment measures for penetrating injuries are particularly important. Although these two lucky patients eventually survived, our experiences in managing steel injuries is worth recommending and emulating. This case focused on the indications of surgery and the description of the intraoperative situation. The successful rescue of this patient depended on the quick judgment of the way the rebar was taken out. This was also the benefit of simultaneous thoracotomy and laparotomy. This study didn't focus on the details of nursing, trauma assessment, emergency triage process, and role tasks, which should be the basic principle of emergency. "). In addition, our article type is a case report, and the content should be appropriately briefed.

Editorial Office's comments

Reply: Thank you, we have revised manuscript according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision and the Format for Manuscript Revision for our specific manuscript type: 'Case Report'.