

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 58387

Title: Completed AV block induced by ASD occluder unfolding: a case report

Reviewer's code: 03478869

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FEBG, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-07-28

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-07-30 01:31

Reviewer performed review: 2020-08-03 01:05

Review time: 3 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Transcatheter closure of secundum atrial septal defect is a widely used technique for the treatment of secundum atrial septal defect. Compared with surgery, it has less invasive, faster recovery and less impact on the physical and mental health of patients. Nowadays, a considerable number of secondary secundum atrial septal defects can be closed by transcatheter approach, and its application fields are very wide. However, despite the low probability of occurrence, there are still some serious complications in percutaneous atrial septal defect occlusion. This study introduces a case of complete atrioventricular block caused by atrial septal defect occluder. This case is interesting and rare, and manuscript is very well written. Comments: 1. The manuscript required an editing. There are many Chinese punctuations, and many blanks are missing in the text. Please check it carefully. 2. What's the "article highlight", this section should be deleted. 3. Please re-edited the language of the manuscript. Meanings of some sentences are not understandable. Overall, this study is acceptable after a minor revision.

Answers to the Reviewer 1:

Thank you very much for your meticulous comments and professional suggestions.

We have re-edited the article, some Chinese usage and symbols have been corrected, and the spaces before the symbols have also been carefully modified using Grammarly software.

According to your opinion, we have deleted "article highlight".

We refinished the language by ourselves and AJE, and changed the structure of many paragraphs and sentences.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 58387

Title: Completed AV block induced by ASD occluder unfolding: a case report

Reviewer's code: 03478923

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: N/A, MD, PhD

Professional title: N/A, Professor, Research Associate, Senior Lecturer

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-07-28

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-07-28 09:25

Reviewer performed review: 2020-08-03 01:14

Review time: 5 Days and 15 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very interesting case. Manuscript required an editing.

Answers to Reviewer 2:

Thank you for you kind comments.