

To Lian-Sheng Ma
Company Editor-in-Chief
Editorial Office

Dear Lian-Sheng Ma,

Thank you for your response regarding our paper entitled **Three-year follow-up of Coats disease treated with conbercept and 532-nm laser photocoagulation** (Manuscript NO.: 58483) for submission to the *World Journal of Clinical Cases*. We are very grateful for your favorable review of our manuscript. The manuscript has been thoroughly revised by native English-speaking editors to a high academic standard, and all the suggestions have been carefully reviewed and incorporated into the final manuscript. A detailed point-by-point response has been provided below in **blue**.

We hope that the manuscript is now suitable for submission to the *World Journal of Clinical Cases*.

We look forward to hearing from you.

Kind regards,

Bo Qin, MD
Second Clinical Medical College of Jinan University
1017 Dongmen North Road
Luohu District
Shenzhen, 518020, China
Tel: 15914077582
Email: jiang.li@szhospital.com

Step 2: Key points of revising the manuscript

(1) Scientific quality: Please resolve all issues in the manuscript based on the peer review report and make a point-by-point response to the issues raised in the peer review report.

(2) Language quality: Please resolve all language issues in the manuscript based on the peer review report. Please be sure to have a native-English speaker edit the manuscript for grammar, sentence structure, word usage, spelling, capitalization, punctuation, format, and general readability, so that the manuscript's language will meet our direct publishing needs.

(3) Special requirements for figures: Figures must be presented in the order that they appear in the main text of the manuscript (numbered as 1, 2, 3, *etc.*). The requirements for the figures and figure legends include: (A) All submitted figures, including the text contained within the figures, must be editable. Please provide the text in your figure(s) in text boxes; (B) For line drawings that were automatically generated with software, please provide the labels/values of the ordinate and abscissa in text boxes; (C) Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor; and (D) In consideration of color-blind readers, please avoid using red and green for contrast in vector graphics or images.

(4) Special requirements for tables: Tables must be presented in the order that they appear in the main text of the manuscript (numbered as 1, 2, 3, *etc.*). Please verify that the tables are referred to in the text by their respective Roman numerals and that the numbering order is correct, and format the tables. Please verify that there are no missing or multiple spaces in the text and tables, *e.g.* before or after parentheses, between words, or before or after symbols like +, ×, ±, <, >, ≥, and ≤. Please verify that special words or letters in the text and tables are correct, *e.g.* *P* (uppercase), *n* (lowercase), *via*, *vs* (lowercase, no punctuation), *in vivo*, *in vitro*, and *et al* (no punctuation) are italicized.

Response: Figures and tables have been presented the order that they appear in the main text of the manuscript and comply with the requirements. The text within the submitted figures can now be edited.

(5) Special requirements for references: Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout. NOTE: The PMID is required, and NOT the PMCID; the PMID number can be found at <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>. (Please begin with PMID:) The DOI number can be found at <http://www.crossref.org/SimpleTextQuery/>. (Please begin with DOI: 10.**).

Please verify that the references are cited by Arabic numerals in square brackets and superscripted in the text, and that the numbering order is correct. There should be no space between the bracket and the preceding word or the following punctuation. When references in the text and tables are cited with author name, it is necessary to manually verify that the name is consistent with the first author's family (sur)name in the corresponding reference list, *e.g.* Wang *et al*^[27], Vanhoos *et al*^[53].

(6) Special requirements for Article Highlights: If your manuscript is an original study (basic study or clinical study), meta-analysis, or systemic review, the “Article Highlights” section should be provided. Detailed writing requirements for “Article Highlights” can be found in the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision.

Response: We have now included Article Highlights (lines 79–89) in the revised manuscript.

(7) Ethical documents: Please double check the accuracy of all ethical documents and verify the completeness of the documents according to the type of manuscript.

(8) Approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s): If your manuscript has supportive foundations, the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s) must be provided.

Response: We have provided the approved grant application form.

Step 4: Verify the accuracy of the general information for your manuscript

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO.: 58483

Column: Retrospective Study

Title: Three-year follow-up of Coats disease treated with conbercept and 532-nm laser photocoagulation

Authors: Li Jiang, Bo Qin, Xiao-ling Luo, He Cao, Ting-ming Deng, Ming-ming Yang, Ting Meng and Hui-qin Yang

Corresponding author: Bo Qin, MD, Doctor, Department of Ophthalmology, Second Clinical Medical College of Jinan University, 1017 Dongmen North Road, Luohu District, Shenzhen 518020, China. jiang.li@szhospital.com

Received date: 2020-08-04

First decision: 2020-08-21

Step 5: Peer-review report(s)

Authors must resolve all issues in the manuscript that are raised in the peer-review report(s) and make point-by-point responses to the issues raised in the peer-review report(s), which are listed below:

Reviewer				#1:
Scientific	Quality: Grade	B	(Very	good)
Language	Quality: Grade	A	(Priority	publishing)
Conclusion:	Minor			revision

Specific Comments to Authors: The scientific paper "Three-year follow-up of Coats disease treated with conbercept and 532-nm laser photocoagulation" aimed to evaluate the long-term outcomes of intravitreal conbercept injection with laser photocoagulation as a treatment for Coats disease in adults and demonstrated that Intravitreal injection of conbercept combined with 532-nm laser photocoagulation may be a feasible treatment for Coats disease in adult patients. It can be considered that: 1) Line 17: replace ORCOD to ORCID; 2) Increase size of figure 1 3) Insert in the methodology more information about the laser equipment used and protocol.

Response: Thank you for your comments. The suggested changes have been made to the revised manuscript. The laser equipment used in this study was Lumenis VISION ONE. Retinal laser photocoagulation was operated routinely with a 532-nm light. The size of the spot was 200 μm , the energy was 150 MW, and the duration was 200 ms. This has been included in the revised manuscript (lines 186–189).

Reviewer				#2:
Scientific	Quality: Grade	C	(Good)	
Language	Quality: Grade	B	(Minor	language polishing)
Conclusion:	Minor			revision

Specific Comments to Authors: Did the questionnaire include the following Information? 1- Information regarding the family history/pedigree. 2 With exception of smoking and alcohol consumption, exploring the environmental factors are not, systematically, considered in this work. 3- The history of any immunological complications is not mentioned. 4- The history of any allergy in the cases is not considered in this study. 5- The style of life including the dietary is not considered in this study.

Response: The manuscript has been thoroughly revised by native English-speaking editors to a high academic standard. Unfortunately, information about the family history, environmental factors, immunological complications, allergy history, and lifestyle and dietary factors were not collected in the present study.

Step 6: Editorial Office's comments

Authors must revise the manuscript according to the Editorial Office's comments and suggestions, which are listed below:

(1) Science Editor: 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a retrospective study of the Coats disease treated with conbercept and 532-nm laser photocoagulation. The topic is within the scope of the WJCC. (1) Classification: Grade B and Grade C; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: The authors aimed to evaluate the long-term outcomes of intravitreal conbercept injection with laser photocoagulation as a treatment for Coats disease in adults and demonstrated that Intravitreal injection of conbercept combined with 532-nm laser photocoagulation may be a feasible treatment for Coats disease in adult patients. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered; and (3) Format: There are 2 tables and 2 figures. A total of 31 references are cited, including 11 references published in the last 3 years. There are no self-citations. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade A and Grade B. A language editing certificate issued by MedSci was provided. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the Biostatistics Review Certificate, the signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement, and the Institutional Review Board Approval Form. Written informed consent was waived. No academic misconduct was found in the CrossCheck detection and Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an unsolicited manuscript. The study was supported by Shenzhen Municipal Health and Family Planning System Research Project. The topic has not previously been published in the WJCC. 5 Issues raised: (1) The authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s); (2) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor; and (3) The "Article Highlights" section is missing. Please add the "Article Highlights" section at the end of the main text. 6 Re-Review: Not required. 7 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.

Response: We have now included an approved grant application form with our submission. To our knowledge, Article Highlights is only required for case reports. An Article Highlights section has been included in the revised manuscript (lines 79–89). We have included original figures in a PowerPoint file along with our submission.

(2) Editorial Office Director: I have checked the comments written by the science editor.

Response: Thank you for your response.

(3) Company Editor-in-Chief: I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, the relevant ethics documents, and the English Language Certificate, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.

Response: Thank you for your response.