

Response to reviewers' questions

The resubmission of NO: 59181

We would again like to thank the editor and reviewer for your valuable time in carefully reading and reviewing of the manuscript. As suggested, we prepared a thorough revision that addresses in detail the concerns associated with the submitted manuscript.

Altogether, we carefully followed the suggestions of all reviewers, which certainly improved the manuscript. In what follows, we reproduce the reviewers' comments (in blue) and systematically address each of their concerns (in black).

Reviewer #1

Q1. Study is on an important topic Manuscript is well written. In the abstract method section needs attention. The description of patient population should be in the result section. Include only the methods in method section.

A1. Thank you for pointing this out. In this version, the description of Data collection and patients' part is classified to the result section, and only the methods in method section.

Reviewer #2

Q1. Table 1 is big and a bit confusing. Could you please improve it and may be convert it to small tables?

A1. Sorry for the confusion. We updated the Table 1 to make it clearer.

Reviewer #3

Q1. Anyway references must be improved, please look at these references: - The emotional impact of COVID-19: From medical staff to common people. *Brain Behav Immun.* 2020;87:23-24. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2020.03.032 - Will COVID-19 change neurosurgical clinical practice? [published online ahead of print, 2020 Jun 1]. *Br J Neurosurg.* 2020;1-2. doi:10.1080/02688697.2020.1773399 - Gupta N, Agrawal S, Ish P. Chloroquine in COVID-19: the evidence. *Monaldi Arch Chest Dis.* 2020;90(1):10.4081/monaldi.2020.1290. Published 2020 Mar 31. doi:10.4081/monaldi.2020.1290 - Exploring the clinical association between neurological symptoms and COVID-19 pandemic outbreak: a systematic review of current literature [published online ahead of print, 2020 Aug 1]. *J Neurol.* 2020;1-9. doi:10.1007/s00415-020-09978-y - Özdağ Acarli AN, Samanci B, Ekizoğlu E, et al. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) From the Point of View of Neurologists: Observation of Neurological Findings and Symptoms During the Combat Against a Pandemic. *Noro Psikiyatrs Ars.* 2020;57(2):154-159. Published 2020 May 1. doi:10.29399/npa.26148

A1. Thanks for your suggestions. In this version, these references are added properly in the introduction and discussion.

Science editor

Q1. I found the authors did not provide the original figures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to

ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor

A1. Thank you for your reminder, all of the original figure documents are arranged to the PowerPoint.

Q2. I found the authors did not add the PMID and DOI in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout

A2. Thank you for pointing this out. In this version, the PMID and DOI are added to all of the references.

Q3. I found the authors did not write the “article highlight” section. Please write the “article highlights” section at the end of the main text

A3. Thank you for pointing this out. In this version, “article highlights” section is added at the end of the main text.

Q4. The author should number the references in Arabic numerals according to the citation order in the text. The reference numbers will be superscripted in square brackets at the end of the sentence with the citation content or after the cited author’s name, with no spaces

A4. Thank you for your reminder, all references have been modified in the correct format

Q5. Please don't include any *, #, †, §, ‡, ¥, @....in your manuscript; Please use superscript numbers for illustration; and for statistical significance, please use superscript letters.

A5. Thank you for pointing this out, any *, #, †, §, ‡, ¥, @.... is removed in this version.