Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: The authors have done a commendable work by successfully implemented the endovascular technique using 3-D printing prototype with reasonable outcome. The manuscript is innovative and may have teaching values for others.

Response to comment: Thank you very much for the praise and approval of our manuscript. It was professional, encouraging and constructive.

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade A (Excellent)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: It is a very interesting case. It should be considered in the comments that this technique is not suitable for most type A acute aortic dissections because they're usually an surgical emergency, and there is a delay between CT study and 3D model printing and performing stent fenestrations. In this case patient was clinically stable with a couple of days after symptoms onset.

Response to comment: Thank you very much for the approval and advice of our paper. It was professional, encouraging and constructive. We would make more effort and further research for the technique.