Dear Editors and Reviewers:

Thank you for your letter and for the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled "Paper Title" (ID: **NO: 61396**). Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to our researches. We have studied comments carefully and have made correction which we hope meet with approval. Revised portion are marked in red in the paper. The main corrections in the paper and the responds to the reviewer's comments are as flowing:

Question 1: **1. Is there a step by step explanation as to how the two-stage TSFE protocol was executed? The abstract seem to provide a more detailed explanation on the methods of this study compared to the "methods" section. I suggest to reconstruct the abstract and methods section.**

Answer: We have reconstruct our abstract and methods section, detailed explanation is desctibed in methods.

2. A few grammatical errors are still found in the manuscript. I suggest to reconstruct the abstract and methods section, and conduct a more thorough proofread of the manuscript.

Answer: We have corrected our spelling and grammatical errors.

3. Are there any other limitations to this study?

Answer: The sample size was limited, the condition of patients was different, the type of implants that we used were also different.

Reply to science editor:

1. The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor;

Answer: We are sorry that the original pictures were not included, now we arrange the figures using PowerPoint.

2. PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout

Answer: PMID and DOI are listed in our revised manuscript now, we have revised it throughout.

3. The "Case Presentation" section was not written according to the Guidelines for Manuscript Preparation. Please re-write the "Case Presentation" section, and add the "FINAL DIAGNOSIS", "TREATMENT", and "OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP" sections to the main text, according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision.

Answer: We re-write the "Case Presentation" section, and add the "FINAL DIAGNOSIS", "TREATMENT", and "OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP" sections to the main text.

4. The authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s);

Answer: The approved grant application form was provided.

If you have any queries, please don’t hesitate to contact me at the address below.

Thank you and best regards.

Yours sincerely,

Xi Ding

2021.1.7

Corresponding author:

Name: Xi Ding

Departments of Stomatology, the First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University,

Wenzhou Zhejiang, China

E-mail: dingxi@wzhospital.cn

Tel: +86-577-55579913

Cell:(0)13857707636

2021.1.2