Answers to the reviewers:

Reviewer #1: Authors presented a rare case of spinal tenosynovial giant cell tumor. Paper is well written and has the essential parts of a manuscript. I suggest that authors mention their objective points of learning in the abstract as well as their introduction. Besides presenting a rare case, what they think a reader should learn.

Answer: We are very grateful to the reviewer for their positive comments on our manuscript and for the time and effort in doing so. As for the suggestions, we are in agreement considering the comments. The learning objective points were mentioned in the abstract and introduction so that the reader could learn the case more purposefully. Thanks again.

Science editor: 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a case report of the tenosynovial giant cell tumors involving the cervical spine. The topic is within the scope of the WJCC. (1) Classification: Grade B; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: Authors presented a rare case of spinal tenosynovial giant cell tumor. Paper is well written and has the essential parts of a manuscript. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered; and (3) Format: There are 4 figures. A total of 34 references are cited, including 2 references published in the last 3 years. There are no self-citations. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade A. A language editing certificate issued by AJE was provided. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the written informed consent. No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an unsolicited manuscript. The study was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China, The Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province of China. The topic has not previously been published in the WJCC. 5 Issues raised: (1) The authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s); (2) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor; and (3) Authors should always cite references that are relevant to their study. Please check and remove any references that not relevant to this study. 6 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.

Answer: Thank you for the comments. After our check of the manuscript, five references were removed. The required modifications were performed and the missing documentation was provided. Thanks again.