

Dear editor,

We would like to thank the reviewers for their interest and to address the comments in the reviews. As for the improvement of R0 resection rates in BRPC after NAT, we address this issue in the part of the paper titled BRPC. We agree with the reviewer that the literature regarding the effects of NAT on R0 resection rates is still inconclusive and we believe that we cited most of the relevant papers on the topic. In the revised version of the manuscript, we added a few sentences further highlighting the heterogeneity of the reports and of the analyzed patient populations that makes definitive conclusions difficult to reach. However, there is substantial data that NAT may indeed increase R0 rates in BRPC and we feel that these promising results deserve to be emphasized. As for the comment on clinical aspects of vascular resections, we added several remarks regarding clinical safety of these procedures in the revised version of the manuscript. Please find the revised version of the manuscript in the attachment.

Best wishes,

Danko Mikulic and Anna Mrzljak