

Answering Reviewers

Re: World Journal of Clinical Cases Manuscript NO: 68096

We would like to acknowledge the reviewer's insightful comments and valuable suggestions. In addition, we thank the reviewer for helping us improve the present manuscript. We have addressed the concerns raised by the reviewer as detailed below.

Reviewer #1

C1: Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: Great case. Just want to add a few inputs to improve the quality of the manuscript. Line 40- At present, there is no accepted treatment standard for this disease, and conservative treatment is usually the first choice this kind of patient. - Can you please add a reference for this. Line 80- He felt that the cervical pain was significantly relieved after his cervical spine was immobilized. - Can be re-worded as patient reported significant relief in his neck pain after the cervical spine was immobilized. The discussion and conclusion parts are well-written.

A1: We appreciate the reviewer's positive evaluation of our work, and we deeply apologized for the lack in this report. We have added relevant content to improve the quality of the manuscript.

EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS

Reply to Science Editor

C1: I found no "Core tip", please add.

A1: Thank you for pointing this out. We have added "Core tip".

C2: I found the authors did not provide the original figures. Please provide the

original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor.

A2: Great thanks for your suggestion. We have provided the original figures in the ppt form.

C3: I found the authors did not add the PMID and DOI in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout.

A3: Thank you for pointing this out. We have added the PMID and DOI in the reference list.

Reply to Company editor-in-chief

C1: I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before final acceptance, uniform presentation should be used for figures showing the same or similar contents; for example, "Figure 1 Pathological changes of atrophic gastritis after treatment. A: ...; B: ...; C: ...; D: ...; E: ...; F: ...; G: ...".

A1: We appreciate your positive evaluation of our work. And we strongly agree that uniform presentation should be used for figures showing the same or similar contents. Therefore, we have corrected them.