

Point-by point response to the Reviewers' comments

Reviewer 1.

Thank you very much for the kind words of appreciation of our paper. Concerning the comments.

1. The second paragraph of the discussion section describes the prognosis after surgery and comparison with other treatments for liver metastasis. I think this paragraph explains the indications for hepatectomy.
2. I modified conclusion as you suggested, and add new sentence.
3. I mentioned follow up imaging and timing in the outcome and follow up section, as you required.

Minor point

1. The left neck dissection mentioned in the text is the left neck lymph node dissection.

Reviewer 2.

Thank you very much for the kind words of appreciation of our paper. Concerning the comments.

1. I added "Hepatectomy improves patient outcomes in liver metastatic lesion" as take away message at the end of the conclusion section of the abstract, as you pointed.
2. I think that the landmark of this report was the reveal of a liver metastasis. The timeline based on this.
3. Treatments were described in the history of present illness paragraph in case presentation section. I added the dose and total cycle of the chemotherapy and radiation, as you mentioned.
4. Due to the nature of this study, medical records and national records other than our hospital medical records were not provided.