## Reply to reviewer 1:

Dear reviewer: Thank you for your comments. I've redone the language polishing of my thesis.

## Reply to reviewer 2:

Dear reviewer: Thank you for your comments. 1. I have updated the diagram and made a clear direction; 2. The patient entered NICU because of neonatal hypoglycemia. After the blood glucose was corrected, HPVG appeared, so there was no correlation between hypoglycemia and HPVG. It was not the condition reported in this report, but the early disease of the patient; 3. Acknowledgment has been amended to obtain consent from the patient's parents. Thank you for your comments.

## Reply to reviewer 3:

Dear reviewer: Thank you for your comments. Related issues are reported as follows:

1. ORCID code and core tips have been added to the manuscript. 2. Related statements have been adjusted. 3. The first appearance of HPVG in the abstract part has been changed to a non-abstract form, and the rest parts remain abbreviated according to the requirements of the abstract. 4. The explanation has been updated at NICU. 5. Table 1 is not enough to describe the change of laboratory results. 6. The expression of NEC has been updated and unified. 7. I answered your question at the end of the discussion. This is a very good question. Thank you very much, and I will pay attention to the relevant situation in the writing of other manuscripts in the future. 8. This article is mainly based on case reports. I will describe your questions in the form of tables in my future review. 9. The legend has been corrected. 10. The language polishing work has been carried out again.