

## Responses to Review Comments

We thank the Editor and anonymous Reviewers for their valuable comments. We provide in the following a point-by-point response to the comments.

### Response to Editor

#### EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS

Authors must revise the manuscript according to the Editorial Office's comments and suggestions, which are listed below:

(1) Science editor: 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a Case Report of clinical observation of pediatric-type follicular lymphomas in adult. The topic is within the scope of the WJCC. (1) Classification: Grade C; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: the article is interesting and displays information about a rare form of lymphoma encountered in adults, pediatric-type follicular lymphomas. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered; (3) Format: There are 3 figures; (4) References: A total of 14 references are cited, including 7 references published in the last 3 years; (5) Self-cited references; and (6) References recommendations: The authors have the right to refuse to cite improper references recommended by the peer reviewer(s), especially references published by the peer reviewer(s) him/herself (themselves). If the authors find the peer reviewer(s) request for the authors to cite improper references published by him/herself

(themselves), please send the peer reviewer's ID number to editorialoffice@wjgnet.com. The Editorial Office will close and remove the peer reviewer from the F6Publishing system immediately.

2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B. A language editing certificate issued by AJE was provided.

3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the CARE Checklist (2016). No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search.

4 Supplementary comments: This is an unsolicited manuscript. The study was supported by the Special Fund of Hebei Provincial Finance Department (grant number 2016034942). The topic has not previously been published in the WJCC.

5 Issues raised: (1) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor; (2) PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout; (3) The author needs to provide the Signed Informed Consent Form(s); (4) The authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s); And (5) The "Case Presentation" section was not written according to the Guidelines for Manuscript Preparation. Please re-write the "Case Presentation" section, and add the "FINAL DIAGNOSIS", "TREATMENT", and "OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP" sections to the main text, according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision.

6 Re-Review: Not

required. 7 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.

(2) Company editor-in-chief: I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before its final acceptance, the author(s) must provide the Signed Informed Consent Form(s) or Document(s) of treatment. For example, authors from China should upload the Chinese version of the document, authors from Italy should upload the Italian version of the document, authors from Germany should upload the Deutsch version of the document, and authors from the United States and the United Kingdom should upload the English version of the document, etc.

Response: Thank you for your comments. As suggested, we have now revised the paper, from presentation to references. Major revisions are listed below.

(1) We have rewrote the "Case Presentation" section, and added the "FINAL DIAGNOSIS", "TREATMENT", and "OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP" sections to the main text, according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision.

(2) Revised the references according to the Format for references guidelines.

## Response to Reviewer 1

Reviewer #1:

**Scientific Quality:** Grade C (Good)

**Language Quality:** Grade B (Minor language polishing)

**Conclusion:** Accept (General priority)

**Specific Comments to Authors:** at page 13 there is a grammar error The clinical manifestations of pediatric nodal marginal zone lymphoma (NMZL) in children WERE instead of was at page 13 replace B lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoblastic lymphoma by Type B lymphoblastic. please rephrase "On account of it usually be in clinical stage I, the long-term tumor-free survival can be obtained after surgical resection, and PTFL is a kind of neoplasm with a satisfactory prognosis" as this is difficult to be understood Altogether the article is interesting and displays informations about a rare form of lymphoma encountered in adults, pediatric-type follicular lymphomas. I would like to invite the authors to develop more about the differences in treatment between this type of lymphoma and the most prevalent lymphomas in adults and also on what base it is preferred a combined management with surgical removal and chemotherapy/radiotherapy and when the surgical cure solely.

Response: Thank you for your evaluation and affirmation. We have corrected the syntax errors and other details raised