

**Title:** Resection of bilateral occipital lobe lesions during a single operation as a treatment for bilateral occipital lobe epilepsy: a case series

**Journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

## **Response to Reviewers' comments**

Dear Editor,

We thank you for your careful consideration of our manuscript. We appreciate your response and overall positive initial feedback and made modifications to improve the manuscript. After carefully reviewing the comments made by the Reviewers, we have modified the manuscript to improve the presentation of our results and their discussion, therefore providing a complete context for the research that may be of interest to your readers.

We hope that you will find the revised paper suitable for publication, and we look forward to contributing to your journal. Please do not hesitate to contact us with other questions or concerns regarding the manuscript.

Best regards,

## **Reviewer #1**

***Comment 1:** The authors propose to replace the method of treatment (resection of bilateral lesions of the occipital lobe) with one operation instead of two operations. A single operation is an effective and safe treatment for bilateral occipital epilepsy. Using this approach will provide several advantages over conventional two-stage treatment, including a shorter treatment cycle, fewer surgeries/hospitalizations, and lower costs. But, the authors practically do not cite new references (for the last 5 years), only 5 out of 28 references refer to this interval. Thus, almost all references may be out of date, as significant progress has been made in the research and treatment of epilepsy in recent years. I propose the authors to revise the article to include references to the latest advances in the field of study.*

**Response:** We thank the Reviewer for the comment. We updated the references as much as possible. Still, some older references had to be included. Of note, there are only 38 entries in PubMed about “occipital lobe epilepsy” in the last 5 years, many of which were not relevant to the present study.

## **Editor #1**

**Comment 1:** *The authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document (s);*

**Response:** We thank the Editor for the reminder. There is no specific funding for this study.

**Comment 2:** *The “Article Highlights” section is missing. Please add the “Article Highlights” section at the end of the main text;*

**Response:** We thank the Editor. We now provide the Highlights at the end of the main text.

**Comment 3:** *the authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor.*

**Response:** We thank the Editor for the reminder. We now provide the figures in a PowerPoint file.