

Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript Type: LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Advantages and issues of concern regarding approaches to peripheral nerve block for total hip arthroplasty

Dear Editor,

Thank you very much for giving us the opportunity to revise our manuscript. I would like to thank the editors and the reviewer for their valuable comments. We have now carefully revised our manuscript according to the comments as indicated below.

EDITORIAL CORRECTIONS:

1) Science editor:

1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a letter to the editor of the advantages and issues of concern regarding approaches to peripheral nerve block for total hip arthroplasty. The topic is within the scope of the WJCC. (1) Classification: Grade C; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: This letter is well written. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered; (3) Format: There is 1 table; (4) References: A total of 14 references are cited, including 7 references published in the last 3 years; (5) Self-cited references: There is no self-cited reference; and (6) References recommendations: The authors have the right to refuse to cite improper references recommended by the peer reviewer(s), especially references published by the peer reviewer(s) him/herself (themselves). If the authors find the peer reviewer(s) request for the authors to cite improper references published by him/herself (themselves), please send the peer reviewer's ID number to editorialoffice@wjgnet.com. The Editorial Office will close and remove the peer reviewer from the F6Publishing system immediately. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B. 3 Academic norms and rules: No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an invited manuscript. No financial support was obtained for the study. The topic has not previously been published in the WJCC. 5 Issues raised: No additional comments. 6 Re-Review: Not required. 7 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.

(2) Company editor-in-chief:

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Please authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content.

Response. Thank you for your words. We revised the text as suggested and the format of the table. Moreover, the revised manuscript has been revised by a English-language speaker (Dr. Maura C. Tracey) who is a co-author of the paper.

REVIEWER 1

Letter to the Editor well written questions raised by efficacy of fascia iliaca block alone please also include reference to the PROSPECT guidelines Aug 2021

Response. Thank you for your words. We included the reference you suggested. Moreover, the revised manuscript has been revised by a English-language speaker (Dr. Maura C. Tracey)