Reviewer #1

 1. The authors believe that the study found that the subjects' weight decreased significantly after AI intervention for half a year, and the p value was less than 0.05. This result cannot be merely a verbal statement. There should be a diagram or table for comparison.

Response

Thank you for the comment. As suggested by reviewer, we added body weight in Table 2.

2. There are still errors in the data in Table 1. I hope the authors will carefully check and revise it. The values in the first and fifth lines are obviously incorrect.

Response

Thank you for the comment. Authors submitted table 1 of the old version by mistake. We submit Table 1 corrected newly.

However, we cannot found an incorrect data in the first line.

Reviewer #2

Though you have revised the relevant parts according to the reviewers' suggestion, but the core has not been improved, such as, 1) what is the processing principle of AI recognition? 2) samples are too few to make a decision objectively. Based on these criteria, I can not stand you for the manuscript for further consideration.

Response

1) Thank you for the comment. The authors were able to get a part of principle of Calomeal in consultation with Life Log Technology Company. We added this sentence in MATERIALS AND METHODS.

2) Thank you for the comment. We are very sorry, but we cannot increase sample sizes. Because we have completed the contract with Life Log Technology Company to maintain a dedicated server.