Authors are highly thankful to the reviewer for the valuable comments. We have revised the manuscript as per the reviewer comments.

1. "Barrett's esophagus" is more widespread in the literature then "Barret's Esophagus". I would suggest to be in line with the authors of other 10,506 papers (by PubMed) who used the first version of spelling. Still, there are other 127 manuscripts with the same version as your. Please, consider revision.

ANS: The spelling has been edited in the manuscript

2. Association of the covered matter with characteristic scope of the journal (Artificial intelligence) is not described. Please, consider to add some information to make the manuscript in line with journal's scope.

Ans: The manuscript has been edited to add data regarding artificial intelligence from introduction to conclusion.

3. It seems that the Cor tip section requires revision to emphasize main ideas, described further.

Ans: The core tip section is enhanced further as advised.