

Responses to Reviewer #1:

Major comments:

Good paper well written.

Authors' reply:

We appreciate this reviewer give such a high score to our manuscript.

Responses to Reviewer #2:

Major comments:

This case report is an extremely interesting finding, especially considering how uncommon patients with esphago-gastric melanomas are. Despite the fact that this type of melanoma is very unusual, it is very important to describe new cases. This case report will definitely contribute to the quite scarce literature regarding melanoma of the GI tract, regardless of the fact that similar papers are already published. The paper is written in a very clear way and is quite comprehensible. The title provides information in accordance with the contents of the paper. The abstract is clear for the reader.

1) However, it would be beneficial for the general appearance of the paper to include a short introduction before the description of the case. The authors could use some of the information found in the discussion to write that introduction.

Authors' reply: We appreciate this very important comment. We newly added an introduction section before the description of the case, basing on the suggestion of this reviewer (page4, line 6-20).

2) In the case description, I would recommend to add the NRS 2002 scale. Additionally, staging and the cTNM scale should be present.

Authors' reply: We agreed with this reviewer's comment. We added the NRS 2002 scale, as well as cTNM scale in the case description (page5, line 18; page6, line 11).

3) Discussion is properly structured and gives a good overview of combined treatment of GI melanomas. In my opinion the authors should add citations associated with the NRS and cTNM scales.

Authors' reply: We added ref6 and ref11 for NRS and cTNM scales, respectively.

4) Figure 2 should contain arrows pointing at the tumor and lymph nodes.

Authors' reply: We added arrows pointing at the tumor and lymph nodes in Figure 2.

5) Tables 1, 2 and 3 should be combined into one table.

Authors' reply: According to this comment, we combined Table 1, 2 and 3.