Answer to Editor and Reviewer's comments – Manuscript 21943

We would like to thank the Editor and reviewers for their very accurate analysis and invaluable advices to improve our manuscript. Please find attached a point-to-point answer with a revised version of our manuscript, which takes carefully into account all the questions and comments of the Editor and reviewers.

Reviewer's code: 02512504

1) The authors claim that this is the" first case of…". The world literature is huge and it is wise to add "To our knowledge….the first case".

A: As suggested, we have added "To our knowledge….the first case".

2) The Figure 2 should be integrated in Figure 1 (at the position with the graft for the enumeration) and the figures labeled directly.

A: As suggested, we have integrated the Figure 2 in Figure 1.

3) Instead, Figure 2 should contain the immunohistochemistry of the markers most differently (i.e. Muc 6, S100P Muc5AC, p53).

A: As suggested, we have added figure 3 containing the immunohistochemistry of the markers most differently.

4) The internal survey for other similar lesions should be omitted. This is a case report of rare lesion, and the internal review does not add any additional information.

A: As suggested, we have omitted the internal survey for other similar lesions