

RESPONSES TO REVIEWERS

1. A 1st report of the FUSE colonoscopy system from Korea. I have no problem accepting this paper for publication. There are still some grammatical issues which the editorial board can help settle.

→ We have carefully polished English of our manuscript.

2. You need to insert additional reference in introduction section as follows. Lee SH, Park YK, Lee DJ, Kim KM, Colonoscopy procedural skills and training for new beginners. World J Gastroenterol 2014 December 7; 20(45): 16984-16995.

→ We inserted additional reference you mentioned in the manuscript.

3. The manuscript can be published after correcting grammatical and typing errors.

→ We have carefully polished English of our manuscript.

4. The English writing should be improved before submission, because there are a few grammar and English spelling errors existing in the manuscript.

→ We have carefully polished English of our manuscript.

5. Data are enumerated in results paragraph. The authors should create new Table indicating the data of right side:left side ratio, Therapeutic intervention, and procedure time.

→ We adjusted the table contents as your recommendation.

6. Were all therapeutic interventions performed by FUSE? Did the authors change the endoscope from FUSE to another endoscope? The ratio of therapeutic

interventions by using FUSE should be indicated.

→ We added the sentences for your questions in result and discussion sections.

7. The authors should discuss about PDR, ADR in Korean populations. The authors should draw the previous study, and compare the ADR, PDR in Korean settings.

→ We added the sentences for your questions in discussion section.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 22717

Title: The feasibility of full-spectrum endoscopy: Korea's first full-spectrum endoscopy colonoscopic trial

Reviewer's code: 00043396

Reviewer's country: Singapore

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2015-09-17 20:58

Date reviewed: 2015-09-29 16:08

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A 1st report of the FUSE colonoscopy system from Korea. I have no problem accepting this paper for publication. There are still some grammatical issues which the editorial board can help settle.

→ We have carefully polished English of our manuscript.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 22717

Title: The feasibility of full-spectrum endoscopy: Korea's first full-spectrum endoscopy colonoscopic trial

Reviewer's code: 02543098

Reviewer's country: South Korea

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2015-09-17 20:58

Date reviewed: 2015-09-29 20:26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The report describes the feasibility of full-spectrum colonoscopy (high-resolution 330° field of view). The quality of manuscript is very good. This manuscript is so good that it deserves publication. It is useful to many gastroenterologists in their practice. In order to improve the quality of this manuscript, I recommend some revisions. 1) You need to insert additional reference in introduction section as follows. Lee SH, Park YK, Lee DJ, Kim KM, Colonoscopy procedural skills and training for new beginners. World J Gastroenterol 2014 December 7; 20(45): 16984-16995. 2) The manuscript can be published after correcting grammatical and typing errors. I truly enjoyed reviewing this manuscript. I think that the article will be widely cited many investigators in the gastroenterology. Lastly, I appreciate the opportunity of review of your manuscript.

→ 1) We inserted additional reference you mentioned in the manuscript.

2) We have carefully polished English of our manuscript.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 22717

Title: The feasibility of full-spectrum endoscopy: Korea's first full-spectrum endoscopy colonoscopic trial

Reviewer's code: 02438768

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2015-09-17 20:58

Date reviewed: 2015-09-30 10:22

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments for ESPS Manuscript NO: 22717 This retrospective study mainly discussed the application of Full-Spectrum Endoscopy (FUSE) in Korea. This is an interesting study, and this manuscript could provide useful information to readers. However, the English writing should be improved before submission, because there are a few grammar and English spelling errors existing in the manuscript.

→ We inserted additional reference you mentioned in the manuscript.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 22717

Title: The feasibility of full-spectrum endoscopy: Korea's first full-spectrum endoscopy colonoscopic trial

Reviewer's code: 02438768

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2015-09-17 20:58

Date reviewed: 2015-09-30 10:22

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments for ESPS Manuscript NO: 22717 This retrospective study mainly discussed the application of Full-Spectrum Endoscopy (FUSE) in Korea. This is an interesting study, and this manuscript could provide useful information to readers. However, the English writing should be improved before submission, because there are a few grammar and English spelling errors existing in the manuscript.

→ We inserted additional reference you mentioned in the manuscript.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 22717

Title: The feasibility of full-spectrum endoscopy: Korea's first full-spectrum endoscopy colonoscopic trial

Reviewer's code: 02917331

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2015-09-17 20:58

Date reviewed: 2015-10-04 12:28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this paper entitled "The feasibility of full-spectrum endoscopy: Korea's first full-spectrum endoscopy colonoscopic trial" the authors demonstrated the descriptive data of the performance of full-spectrum endoscopy (FUSE) in Korean population. FUSE is newly developed endoscope. Its actual performance is still unknown. This retrospective study has some kinds of informative data. However, several concerns are raised as follows. Major comments. 1. Data are enumerated in results paragraph. The authors should create new Table indicating the data of right side:left side ratio, Therapeutic intervention, and procedure time. 2. Were all therapeutic interventions performed by FUSE? Did the authors change the endoscope from FUSE to another endoscope? The ratio of therapeutic interventions by using FUSE should be indicated. 3. The authors should discuss about PDR, ADR in Korean populations. The authors should draw the previous study, and compare the ADR, PDR in Korean settings.

→ 1) We adjusted the table contents as your recommendation.

2) We added the sentences for your questions in result and discussion sections.

3) We added the sentences for your questions in discussion section.