

Reviewer's code: 03656612

The current review is focused on the pseudo-aneurysm complication of pancreatitis, which cause a major mortality in the late phase of AP. The paper is well-designed and make sense. I recommend the author to make some minor changes to make the article more excellent. 1. Add some subheads to the text, e.g for ARTERIAL COMPLICATIONS IN ACUTE PANCREATITIS, can add incidence, imaging detection, symptoms, etc. 2. Some tables are not related to the topic, like Table 1 and 2, which are general for all pancreatitis. The authors need to focus on bleeding complications. It's better to input a table which present the type of vessel complication according to the 3rd-5th paragraph of ARTERIAL COMPLICATIONS IN ACUTE PANCREATITIS. 3. Please provide a typical 3-dimensional CT image of pseudoaneurysm and a typical interventional radiology graph.

1. Add some subheads to the text, e.g for ARTERIAL COMPLICATIONS IN ACUTE PANCREATITIS, can add incidence, imaging detection, symptoms, etc.

ANSWER: thank you, subheads are added to manuscript

2. Some tables are not related to the topic, like Table 1 and 2, which are general for all pancreatitis. The authors need to focus on bleeding complications. It's better to input a table which present the type of vessel complication according to the 3rd-5th paragraph of ARTERIAL COMPLICATIONS IN ACUTE PANCREATITIS.

ANSWER: thank you, this has been modified and manuscript updated.

3. Please provide a typical 3-dimensional CT image of pseudoaneurysm and a typical interventional radiology graph.

ANSWER: thank you we accepted that and all updated in the manuscript.

Reviewer's code: 00070271

Review of manuscript: Pancreatitis: Preventing Catastrophic Haemorrhage Dear authors: I have reviewed your manuscript and I think that you proposes an interesting review of this topic. Nevertheless some corrections need to be done: Title: it summarizes the core content of the manuscript, Both the title and the running title comply with the guide of the world journal of gastroenterology. Citations: The world journal of gastroenterology specified that the first name of the author should be typed in bold faced- letter; all the others authors should be typed with the first letter capitalized, followed by their abbreviated first and middle initials. Abstract If you read the guidelines for the manuscript, the journal demands no more than 200 words for the complete abstract, your manuscript contents a total of 136 words, therefore the manuscript complies with the guidelines. But the journal requires 5 to 10 keywords, you only have 4. Introduction: It is important that you don't be repetitive with some words in the same paragraph, for example; in the first one in the introduction, the word "impact" is repetitive. Is the same case with the word "pancreatitis"? Although "pancreatitis" is the objective of the work, it should not be so repetitive. You can find some synonyms or another word for say the same idea. You talk about the annual incidence of acute pancreatitis at UK. It would be interesting if you also talk about the overall incidence, and compare with UK. Discussion: The world journal of gastroenterology mentions in their guides that the manuscript should contains introduction, discussion, conclusion, and references. In your text, there is not a section with the title of discussion. Although you divide this section by "arterial complications in acute pancreatitis" and "management of acute haemorrhage" you should add those for the discussion. In general you can summarize all the text more and it looks better grammatically for example: in the first paragraph about "management of acute haemorrhage" it says "Portal vein thrombosis has been shown to occur in up to 23% and splenic vein thrombosis been shown to occur in up to 22% of patients with pancreatitis[27, 33]." Instead that you can add: "Thrombosis of the portal vein has been

shown to occur up to 23% and 22% of patients with pancreatitis [27, 33]" References:
References have to be written according to the characteristics requested from the journal.
Would be ideal if you find reference of 2016 or current, only if there is any new reference.
Try to do not use old articles, for example the citation number 15 is from 1982, it is the
same case from the references 18,19,20,21,22,28,30,43, etc. You can use them if is
absolutely necessary, but try to use the newest on the topic.

1- Citations: The world journal of gastroenterology specified that the first name of the author
should be typed in bold faced- letter; all the others authors should be typed with the first letter
capitalized, followed by their abbreviated first and middle initials.

ANSWER: thank you, citations are updated with the use of Endonote X7.

2- The journal requires 5 to 10 keywords, you only have 4.

ANSWER: thank you, just let you know that key words are 5 not 4 (Pancreatitis; Haemorrhage;
Pesudoaneurysm; Complication of pancreatitis, Splenic artery)

3- Introduction: It is important that you don't be repetitive with some words in the same
paragraph, for example; in the first one in the introduction, the word "impact" is repetitive. Is the
same case with the word "pancreatitis"? Although "pancreatitis" is the objective of the work, it
should not be so repetitive. You can find some synonyms or another word for say the same idea.

ANSWER: thank you, that has been done in the introduction and the word pancreatitis is
replaced with suitable words.

4- You talk about the annual incidence of acute pancreatitis at UK. It would be interesting if you
also talk about the overall incidence, and compare with UK.

ANSWER: thank you, that has been changed in the manuscript.

5- Discussion: The world journal of gastroenterology mentions in their guides that the
manuscript should contains introduction, discussion, conclusion, and references. In your text,

there is not a section with the title of discussion. Although you divide this section by “arterial complications in acute pancreatitis” and “management of acute haemorrhage” you should add those for the discussion.

ANSWER: thank you, as the manuscript is a review article, we think that adding discussion will mean redesigning the manuscript unless is mandatory we can work on it.

6- In general you can summarize all the text more and it looks better grammatically for example: in the first paragraph about “management of acute haemorrhage” it says “Portal vein thrombosis has been shown to occur in up to 23% and splenic vein thrombosis been shown to occur in up to 22% of patients with pancreatitis[27, 33].” Instead that you can add: “Thrombosis of the portal and splenic vein has been shown to occur up to 23% and 22% of patients with pancreatitis respectively. [27, 33]”

ANSWER: thank you, this has been modified in the manuscript

7- Would be ideal if you find reference of 2016 or current, only if there is any new reference. Try to do not use old articles, for example the citation number 15 is from 1982, it is the same case from the references 18,19,20,21,22,28,30,43, etc. You can use them if is absolutely necessary, but try to use the newest on the topic.

ANSWER: thank you, many of these references have been updated in the manuscript

Reviewer's code: 00070310

This manuscript has reviewed management of acute pancreatitis with catastrophic haemorrhage. This manuscript is well written, and will be acceptable for publication except one. 1. Please show how to select papers in this review.

1. Please show how to select papers in this review.

ANSWER: thank you, Non-systematic review of current evidences, meta-analyses and RCTs to outline the best practice of diagnosis, management and follow up of arterial haemorrhage in pancreatitis.