

Editor's suggestions

Please provide specific point-to-point replies to each reviewer's comments.

Please revise your manuscript according to the "guideline" and "format"

Language certificate from a specialized company is indispensable

As requested, here is a point-by-point reply to each reviewer's comments.

Then, the manuscript has been revised according to the Journal guidelines and format.

As for language editing, no major issues were reported from both reviewers, and Nazarbayev University recognizes English as official language, which is a mandatory requirement for both Faculty and students. Moreover, personally I used to work as a Research Fellow at Boston Children's Hospital – Harvard University for more than 2 years. Finally, previous paper of mine published on BPG Journals were accepted without any additional language requirements because of the aforementioned reasons.

Finally, an audio core tip has been recorded and uploaded, as requested by the Journal.

Reply to Reviewer (Referee: 1)

This is an interesting review about an uncommon condition seen more in critically ill adults. This is a very thorough and extensive review that I would argue needs to be shortened, but nonetheless it is valuable. Great work, I congratulate with the authors.

Thank you for appreciating this manuscript. We tried to reduce the length wherever it was possible, but that may impact on the scientific value and quality of the manuscript.

Reply to Reviewer (Referee: 2)

This paper is mention about acalculous status. please mention about calculous status, also

Thank you for appreciating this manuscript. As agreed with the Editor, this mini-review is focused on Acute Acalculous Cholecystitis (AAC) in children. Nonetheless, we mentioned some aspects of Acute Calculous Cholecystitis (ACC) in the Introduction in such a way that is functional to our discussion on AAC.