

Revision:

1.Reviewers' comments/suggestions:

- Reviewer 03714458.

Comments: Well written and attracts attention. There are some typos need to be corrected as below; Line 29: autohrs aprove --> authors approve Line 35: statment --> statement Line 151: endsocopy --> endoscopy Line 187: metohds --> methods Line 237: immunomodulator --> immunomodulatory Line 259: evaluarion --> evaluation.

Reply to reviewer 03714458: All changes were made on the manuscript.

- Reviewer 00050232

Comments: The paper is focused on the treatment with anti-TNF inhibitors, which is an important subject. The manuscript is well designed and uses an appropriate methodology. However, it presents a flaw, recognized by the authors, which is the small sample size. Another problem is the lack of correlation of the marker used – calprotectin – with the endoscopic response. It is important to note that these failures occur in most papers on this subject. Despite the aforementioned, the work deserves publication.

Reply to reviewer 00050232: no changes were necessary. We agree with the reviewer comments.

1.Editors' comments/suggestions:

- N1:Title was changed
- N2: Short title was adapted
- N3 – n 4: Post code was provided
- N5: Grant application was included on a PDF format
- N6: commentary was included
- N7: text were included
- N8: text were included
- N9: explanation were provided
- N10: text was included and consort check list was already uploaded previously
- N11:Fax number were provided
- N12: Audio core tip was uploaded oh mp3 format
- N13: Highlights were included
- N14: Abreviattions were explained
- N15: A figure on PPT format was uploaded.
- N16: References: all authors was included and also PMID and DOI (except for reference n 8 that do not have DOI number available). Here I have a doubt, you need me to send you the first page of all references? When you said: “The author should provide the first page of the paper without PMID and DOI”